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ABSTRACT

Objective To evaluate visual outcomes and complications of infantile cataract surgery through a 1-year follow-up period in a real world scenario.

Methods and analysis Prospective observational study evaluating infants with cataract undergoing surgery.

Results We analysed 173 eyes of 97 infants (76 bilateral, median age 18.7 weeks, IQR: 11–33.9 weeks). Toxoplasmosis, rubella, cytomegalovirus and herpes infection was the most common aetiology in both unilateral (10 (47.6%) and bilateral (43 (55.1%) cases, followed by familial and syndromic cases. Fifty-four eyes (29.5%) received primary intraocular lens (IOL) implantation. Seventy-five infants (76%) were less than 6 months of age. At 1-year follow-up, mean log MAR best-corrected visual acuity was 1.08±0.08 and 1.21±0.3 in unilateral and bilateral cases respectively (p=0.012), which was not statistically significant. At 1-year follow-up, pseudophakic (1.08±0.05) eyes had a better mean log MAR visual acuity compared with aphakic (1.24±0.04) eyes but was not statistically significant after the application of Bonferroni correction (p=0.012). The mean myopic shift of −2.9 D±0.39 and −4.53 D±0.55 over 1 year was noted in aphakic and pseudophakic respectively (p=0.016). Visual axis opacification and glaucoma were the most common complications noted in pseudophakic and aphakic respectively.

Conclusion Primary IOL implantation in selected cases of infantile cataract is a feasible option, particularly in cases when optimal aftercare and refractive rehabilitation of aphakia are not possible.

INTRODUCTION

The first 2 years of a child’s life are critical in the development of vision. Lenticular opacities during this phase can suppress primary sensory development leading to amblyopia. As age at cataract surgery is an important factor influencing the visual outcome in children with both unilateral and bilateral cataracts,1 it is important to treat and visually rehabilitate these children as early as possible.

The intraocular lens (IOL) implantation in infants below the age of 7 months is controversial.2 Although several studies have shown varying results regarding the safety of early IOL implantation, the Infant Aphakia Treatment Study (IATS) suggested that, at 1 year of age, visual outcomes were similar among aphakics, in those who have been given early rehabilitation with contact lenses and pseudophakics. The IATS results also showed a higher complication rate in pseudophakics with a need for secondary intervention. Hence, IATS recommended early IOL implantation in those infants for whom cost and contact lens handling were challenges.3 Negalur and associates reported that the primary IOL implantation is safe in infants aged <6 months in the absence of conditions such as anterior segment dysgenesis, microcornea and glaucoma.4 With existing challenges due to economic constraints and...
follow-up in developing nations and by weighing the risk–benefit ratio, there is an inclination towards primary IOL implantation.

Visual axis opacification (VAO), glaucoma, retinal detachment and, rarely, endophthalmitis are sight-threatening complications following an infantile cataract surgery.\(^5\)\(^6\) IATS has reported VAO as the most common complication in pseudophakia, whereas in aphakia, glaucoma is the most common complication.\(^7\) Overall, glaucoma is the second most commonly reported complication after an infantile cataract surgery. The various risk factors for the development of glaucoma are cataract surgery at a very early age, additional surgery for secondary membrane formation, presence of microcornea, type of cataract and phakic status.\(^8\)\(^9\) In several studies, presence of IOLs has been recognised as a protective factor against the development of glaucoma.\(^10\)\(^11\) The objective of our study was to prospectively evaluate visual outcomes and complications following infantile cataract surgery over a 1-year follow-up period.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This was a prospective study conducted at L V Prasad Eye Institute, Hyderabad, India. A total of 173 eyes of 97 infants (age range, 4–46 weeks) operated for congenital cataract between June 2016 and June 2017 with a follow-up of 1-year postsurgery were included. A written informed consent explaining the details of evaluation, surgery performed and possible complications associated with surgery and general anaesthesia was obtained from the parents.

All patients were subjected to a comprehensive ocular examination after taking complete history from the parents. The preoperative visual status was recorded whenever possible and strabismus and nystagmus, if present, were documented. An ultrasound B scan was performed in all cases. Under general anaesthesia, axial length (Tomey AL-100, Germany), corneal thickness (Tomey SP-100, Germany), keratometry (Nidek HandyReF-K, Japan) and corneal diameter (Castroviejo callipers) were measured. Gonioscopy (Volk 4 mirror indirect gonio lens) was performed under anaesthesia prior to surgery and anterior chamber angles were labelled as open when posterior pigmented trabecular meshwork was seen. The presence of abnormal iris processes, anterior insertion of iris and extent of peripheral anterior synechiae (PAS), if any, were noted in all eyes.

Lens aspiration with primary posterior capsulotomy and anterior vitrectomy with or without IOL implantation was performed. We did not randomise the patients into aphakia and pseudophakia groups as we wanted to study the real world scenario without any restricting factors. Only eyes which satisfied the criteria of an axial length ≥16.5 mm and a horizontal corneal diameter ≥10.5 mm were implanted with an IOL in the bag (Acrysoft SA60AT, Alcon laboratories, Inc, Fort Worth, Tx USA).\(^12\) The IOL power was calculated using Sanders-Retzlaff-Kraff II/T formula with Enyeedi’s guidelines for under-correction.\(^13\)\(^14\) All surgical wounds were sutured with non-absorbable 10–0 nylon sutures. Postoperatively, topical tobramycin 0.3% or moxifloxacin 0.5% four times a day for 1 week, atropine sulfate 1% twice daily for 1 week and a tapering dose of prednisolone acetate 1% 8–12 times daily with a gradual tapering over 6 weeks were prescribed to all infants.

The children were examined on first postoperative day and, under general anaesthesia, at 1 week during which sutures were removed and glasses or contact lenses were prescribed. The children were followed up at one, six and 12 months postsurgery. Age-appropriate visual acuity testing methods were followed. In children where visual acuity could not be estimated by these methods, visual behaviour and their ability to fix and follow light were assessed. Teller Acuity Charts (TAC, Stereo Optical Chicago, Illinois, USA) were used for assessing grating visual acuity at 1 year of age. When a child resisted occlusion during monocular vision recording, binocular grating acuity was recorded. Intraocular pressure (IOP) was measured with Perkins tonometer (Haag-Streit, UK) when under anaesthesia and Icare tonometer (TA01l, Finland) in the outpatient department. Retinoscopy was performed by a trained optometrist. All children operated for bilateral cataracts were rehabilitated with spectacles and children with unilateral aphakia were offered contact lenses. In cases of non-compliance to contact lens, spectacles were given for constant wear. Amblyopia therapy was initiated from 1 week after surgery. Additionally, gonioscopy, keratometry, corneal thickness, axial length and corneal diameter were also documented at 1 year follow-up.

Strabismus, if present, was measured using prism bar cover test (if the child allowed examination) or a modified Krimsky test. Nystagmus, if present, was also documented. In addition, VAO, signs of inflammation, position of IOL and posterior segment complication, if any, were noted in every visit. A diagnosis of glaucoma was made if IOP was >20 mm Hg with one or more of the following changes that is, increase in corneal diameter, asymmetric progressive myopic shift coupled with increase in corneal diameter and/or increase in axial length and an increased cup to disc ratio of the optic nerve head. A patient was designated as a glaucoma suspect if they had two consecutive IOP readings ≥20 mm Hg on two different visits without any other anatomical changes.

Statistical analysis was performed using STATA V.14.2 (StataCorp). A linear mixed effects model using maximum likelihood estimation with random intercepts at the subject level was used in the data analysis to account for the correlation between fellow eyes of the same subject. The comparisons between postoperative visits or groups (aphakic vs pseudophakic or unilateral vs bilateral) were evaluated by mixed effects regression analysis using marginal linear predictions. A p <0.05 was considered statistically significant. For multiple comparisons, a Bonferroni correction was made.
RESULTS

One hundred and twelve patients under the age of 12 months (median age: 14.5 weeks and IQR: 9.3–32.2 weeks) were enrolled in the study. Fifteen patients were excluded as they either did not undergo surgery at our centre or were lost to follow-up after surgery. Finally, a total of 173 eyes belonging to 97 patients (86.6%) were included. Fifty subjects (51.6%) were females and 76 (78.4%) had bilateral cataract.

TORCH infection (Toxoplasmosis, Rubella, Cytomegalovirus and Herpes virus infections) was the most common aetiology in both unilateral (n=10, 47.6%) and bilateral (n=43, 55.1%) cataracts, followed by familial and syndromic cases. Overall, cytomegalovirus infection was the predominant (n=21, 21%) TORCH infection, followed by mixed infection (n=19, 19%), rubella virus infection (n=8, 8%) and herpes virus infection (n=5, 5%). The number of patients with undetermined causes was 18 (23.1%) and 9 (11.5%) in bilateral and unilateral cataracts, respectively. Two eyes (9.5%) of 21 unilateral cataracts had persistent fetal vasculature. Of 26 infants diagnosed with rubella cataract, 7 (26.9%) infants underwent IOL implantation, while 24 of 71 (33.8%) non-rubella aetiology cataracts underwent IOL implantation.

The mean age at surgery was 23.7 weeks (median: 18.7 weeks and IQR: 11–33.9 weeks). Of 173 eyes that underwent surgery, 54 eyes (29.5%) were implanted with IOLs. The IOL status in unilateral and bilateral cases is summarised in online supplemental table 1. Of 97 patients who were operated, 94 (96.9%), 91 (93.8%) and 65 (67.0%) followed up at 1 week, 1 month and 6 months, respectively. When there was a follow-up attrition, each patient was given a telephonic reminder call within a week of their missed follow-up and only patients who reviewed to clinic within 2 weeks of telephonic call were included in further analysis. At 1 year, the follow-up rate continued to drop to 53 patients (54.6%), but improved to 79 patients (81.4%) following a reminder telephone call after non-attendance.

Best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) outcomes and myopic shift are tabulated in table 1. Grating acuity in LogMAR equivalents was achieved for 123 eyes, visual fixation behaviour was recorded in the remainder. Binocular measurements only were possible in 53 (43%) eyes but included in the analysis as most of these eyes were of bilateral cataract and had not resisted occlusion of either eyes. When there was a resistance to occlusion of either eye, efforts were made to assess monocular visual acuity. There was no significant difference in mean LogMAR BCVA between unilateral and bilateral cases or aphakic and pseudophakic eyes (table 1). A myopic shift was recorded in all eyes, with no significant difference in dioptric power change between aphakic and pseudophakic eyes (table 1). Figure 1 shows mean spherical equivalent refraction at all postoperative visits.

The secondary outcomes such as corneal diameter, central corneal thickness, keratometry and axial length
at 1 year were compared with preoperative values, and evaluated for aphakes and pseudophakes as well as unilateral and bilateral cataracts (table 2).

Gonioscopy was documented in 103 eyes (59.5%) preoperatively and in 67 eyes (38%) at 1 year. Preoperatively, a majority of eyes had open angles (99, 96.1%) and 4 eyes (3.9%) showed closed angles. At 1 year postoperatively, 64 eyes (37%) had open angles of which prominent iris processes were seen in 9 eyes (13%) and pigmented posterior trabecular meshwork in 23 eyes (34%). Three eyes (4%) had closed angles and showed PAS. Although no IOP spike was noted in any of the eyes with increased pigmentation, the subsequent course of these eyes in developing glaucoma has to be monitored closely.

While 11 infants (11.3%) presented with strabismus prior to surgery, 34 (34%) were noted to have strabismus at the end of the study. Esotropia (22%–65%) was the most common strabismus (range, 8–50 prism dioptres or PD) as compared with exotropia (12%–35%, range 13–40 PD). None of the eyes underwent strabismus surgery. Nystagmus was noted in 43 patients (44.3%).

Surgical complications in each group are recorded in table 3. The occurrence of VAO was significantly higher in the pseudophakic group at 22.2% compared with (4.2% in aphakic group). Out of 173 eyes, 18 (10.3%) underwent a secondary surgery (table 4).Twelve of the 17 cases (70.6%) with VAO, were visually significant and warranted membranectomy, the most common secondary procedure performed. No significant difference in the occurrence of complications or the need for secondary surgery were found between unilateral and bilateral cases (online supplemental tables 2 and 3).

Of the two eyes (1%) that developed endophthalmitis, one eye presented 1 month postoperatively with a surgical site infiltrate. The other eye presented as chronic endophthalmitis at 8 months postoperatively. Both cases of chronic endophthalmitis occurred in babies who had been lost to routine follow-up.

![Figure 1](http://bmjophth.bmj.com/) Follow-up trend of mean spherical equivalent in unilateral, bilateral, aphakes and pseudophakes.
DISCUSSION

This prospective study adds to existing literature, a large cohort of infants who underwent cataract surgery with a reasonably good follow up. The salient feature of our study was the congenital cataract cohort group was not randomised and were studied in a natural course as we wanted to prospectively look into the outcomes without any restrictive factors in a developing nation, unlike the existing literature on randomised studies in developed nations. Bilateral cataracts were predominant in our study in contrast to studies from developed nations where more unilateral infantile cataracts have been reported. The goal of this study was not to compare the complications between aphakes and pseudophakes, but rather to see the practical feasibility of IOL implantation, visual outcomes and report complications (if any) in both groups.

Majority of the infants in our study were females, unlike studies by Eckstein and associates, implying a shifting trend towards gender parity and an increase in awareness among the population. More than three-quarters of the patients in our study had presented before 6 months of age implying increasing awareness and regular screening of infants. While Foster et al and Eckstein et al reported most cataracts as idiopathic in aetiology, our study showed that TORCH infection was the most common in both unilateral and bilateral cases. One-third of the children presented, had high-risk systemic conditions such as congenital heart disease and seizure disorder.

The mean age at surgery in our study was 23.7 weeks which was higher compared with the study done by Autrata and associates, where it was 12.4 weeks. In IATS, the mean age at surgery was 7.2 weeks. The optimal method for visual rehabilitation for children following cataract surgery remains debatable. In recent years, there has been an increase in the use of IOLs to correct aphakia during infancy. One-third of the eyes in our study satisfied the criteria for IOL implantation and were successfully implanted with a posterior chamber IOL. The remaining two-thirds were prescribed either spectacles or contact lenses.

The study by Chougule and associates has reported a loss of follow-up from 85% at 1-month postsurgery to 52% at the end of 1 year. In our study, despite regular reminders for follow-ups through telephone calls and text messages, the rate of follow-ups dropped from almost 100% on the first postoperative day to 50% at 6 months. After persistent telephone calls and explaining the need for follow-up and examination, the rate of follow-up increased to 80% at 1 year.

At 1 year, there was no significant difference in mean BCVA in implanted eyes compared with non-implanted eyes. Unlike IATS and IOL under two study which strongly discourage IOL implantation in infants less than 6 months of age owing to higher adverse effect at 5 years, our study by Chougule and associates showed implantation of IOL in carefully selected cases as a viable option in developing countries. Autrata et al had shown in their

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postoperative complication n, (%; 95% CI)</th>
<th>Aphakes (119 eyes)</th>
<th>Pseudophakes (54 eyes)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual axis opacification</td>
<td>5 (4.2%, 1.6% to 10%)</td>
<td>12 (22.2%, 12.5% to 35.9%)</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary glaucoma</td>
<td>6 (5.0%, 2.1% to 11.1%)</td>
<td>1 (1.9%, 0.1% to 11.2%)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endophthalmitis</td>
<td>1 (0.8%, 0.04% to 5.3%)</td>
<td>1 (1.9%, 0.1% to 11.2%)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitreous in anterior chamber</td>
<td>1 (0.8%, 0.04% to 5.3%)</td>
<td>0 (0%, 0% to 8.3%)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal decompensation</td>
<td>0 (0%, 0% to 3.9%)</td>
<td>1 (1.0%, 0.1% to 11.2%)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitreous haemorrhage</td>
<td>2 (1.7%, 0.3% to 6.5%)</td>
<td>0 (0%, 0.1% to 11.2%)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15 (12.6%, 7.5% to 20.3%)</td>
<td>14 (25.9%, 15.4% to 39.9%)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bonferroni correction was made and p<0.007, that is, 0.05/7, was considered statistically significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secondary surgery n, (%; 95% CI)</th>
<th>Aphakes (119 eyes)</th>
<th>Pseudophakes (54 eyes)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Membranectomy</td>
<td>3 (2.5%, 0.7% to 7.7%)</td>
<td>9 (16.7%, 8.4% to 29.8%)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trabeculectomy + trabeculotomy</td>
<td>2 (1.7%, 0.3% to 6.5%)</td>
<td>0 (0%, 0% to 8.3%)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitrectomy</td>
<td>2 (1.7%, 0.3% to 6.5%)</td>
<td>0 (0%, 0% to 8.3%)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitrectomy + intraocular antibiotics</td>
<td>1 (0.8%, 0.04% to 5.3%)</td>
<td>1 (1.9%, 0.1% to 11.2%)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraocular lens explantation</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1 (1.9%, 0.1% to 11.2%)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8 (6.7%, 3.2% to 13.2%)</td>
<td>10 (18.5%, 9.7% to 31.9%)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bonferroni correction was made and p<0.01, that is, 0.05/5, was considered statistically significant.

N/A, not available.
study of unilateral cataracts that the mean final visual of the operated eye was 0.43±0.33 for the IOL group and 0.58±0.39 for the CL group (p=0.14) while the mean interocular difference in visual acuity was 0.22±0.29 for the IOL group and 0.56±0.31 for the CL group (p=0.042). Thus, they had concluded that the correction of aphakia after cataract surgery with primary IOL implantation resulted in improved visual acuity.

Similar to our results, IATS had shown that the median visual acuity at 12 months after cataract surgery was the same for both aphakia and the IOL cohorts (aphakia group 0.80 Log MAR, IOL group 0.97 Log MAR; p=0.19). IOL under-2 study had looked into the effectiveness of IOL implantation in children less than 2 years of age and had found that IOL implantation had significant better vision compared with children who were left aphakic, but this result was consistent only in bilateral cataracts and not in unilateral cataracts.

We found that the average myopic shift was similar in both the groups and emmetropisation was faster in pseudophakes compared with aphakes.

Among the secondary outcomes, the corneal diameter increased significantly in both aphakes and pseudophakes. There was an increase in central corneal thickness 1-year post surgery when compared with the immediate postoperative period. These results are consistent with the results of earlier studies. While the myopic shift was significant in pseudophakes, a significant change in axial length was noted in both groups; similar to the IATS study. Differing results have been reported on the axial growth of the eye after cataract surgery during infancy.

The most common complication noted in our study was VAO followed by secondary glaucoma. The rate of complications and second surgery were more in pseudophakes compared with aphakes. VAO following cataract surgery can range from 40% to 95%. Trivedi and associates reported that 25% of their cases with VAO required a second surgery. However, in our study, VAO was noted in 9.8% of patients and was predominant in pseudophakes. More than 70% of the eyes that developed VAO underwent membranectomy. In common with the findings from other studies, VAO was significantly more common in implanted eyes than aphakic eyes as was the requirement for further surgery. This incidence was much lower compared with the previous studies and could be attributed to using a hydrophobic acrylic lens, in the bag lens implantation, a thorough cortical clean up and an intense postoperative topical steroid regimen.

In contrast to the IATS study, 3% of infants were labelled as glaucoma suspects and 4% were treated for glaucoma, and fewer pseudophakes developing glaucoma, suggesting a possible protective role of the IOL as has been suggested in some studies. Endophthalmitis is rare after paediatric cataract surgery with an estimated prevalence of 0.07%. Two babies who had been lost to follow-up returned with endophthalmitis. While one developed endophthalmitis 1 month postoperatively and had a suture site infiltrate, the other presented at 8 months postoperatively with chronic endophthalmitis. Microbiological work up did not reveal any organisms. Both the children were given intravitreal antibiotics without any delay and followed up subsequently.

The major limitation in our study was the follow-up attrition and hence the parameters evaluated were corrected for age and analysed. Due to high-risk systemic conditions, the anaesthesia time had to be shortened and in few cases the biometry readings were not available during the follow-up period. We did not select unilateral or bilateral cataracts in particular as cohort and also did not randomise the patients for aphakia or pseudophakia group as we wanted to study the real life scenario without any restricting factors.

CONCLUSION

Unlike many other studies of infantile cataract surgery, the most frequent aetiology of cataract in the studied cohort in India was TORCH infection. While there is debate regarding primary IOL implantation in infants less than 6 months old, our large cohort suggests that it is feasible in nearly one third of infants: important criteria being the absence of anterior segment pathology, a minimum axial length of 16.5 mm and horizontal corneal diameter of at least 10.5 mm. In this cohort, eyes which had primary IOL implantation had comparable BCVA at 1 year to aphakic eyes, however, they had a significantly increased incidence of complications and subsequent surgery. Given these findings, the decision to implant should be balanced against potential attendance issues and compliance with optical correction of aphakia in developing nations, the issues with attendance and optical rehabilitation in low-income and middle-income countries and may tip the balance in favour of early IOL implantation.
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Supplemental Table 1: Summary of lens status post-cataract surgery in both unilateral and bilateral cataract groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Post-operative complication</th>
<th>Unilateral (n= 21 eyes)</th>
<th>Bilateral (152 eyes)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual Axis opacification</td>
<td>1 (4.8%, 0.3%-25.9%)</td>
<td>16 (10.5%, 6.3%-16.8%)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Glaucoma</td>
<td>1 (4.8%, 0.3%-25.9%)</td>
<td>6 (4.0%, 1.6%-8.8%)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endophthalmitis</td>
<td>0 (0%, 0%-19.2%)</td>
<td>2 (1.3%, 0.2%-5.2%)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitreous in Anterior Chamber</td>
<td>0 (0%, 0%-19.2%)</td>
<td>1 (0.7%, 0.03%-4.2%)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal Decompensation</td>
<td>1 (4.8%, 0.3%-25.9%)</td>
<td>0 (0%, 0%-3.1%)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Supplemental Table 2: Rate of complications in unilateral and bilateral cataract groups
(CI-Confidence interval)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secondary surgery</th>
<th>Unilateral (21 eyes)</th>
<th>Bilateral (152 eyes)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Membranectomy</td>
<td>1 (4.8%, 0.3%-25.9%)</td>
<td>11 (7.2%, 3.9%-12.9%)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trabeculectomy</td>
<td>0 (0%, 0%-19.2%)</td>
<td>2 (1.3%, 0.2%-5.2%)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitrectomy</td>
<td>1 (4.8%, 0.3%-25.9%)</td>
<td>1 (0.7%, 0.03%-4.2%)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitrectomy+IOAB</td>
<td>0 (0%, 0%-19.2%)</td>
<td>2 (1.3%, 0.2%-5.2%)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOL explantation</td>
<td>1 (4.8%, 0.3%-25.9%)</td>
<td>0 (0%, 0%-3.1%)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>3 (14.3%, 3.8%-37.4%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>15 (9.9%, 5.8%-16%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.54</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supplemental Table 3: Rate of second surgery in unilateral and bilateral cataract groups** (CI-Confidence interval)