Functional benefits of a chorioretinal anastomosis at 2 years in eyes with a central retinal vein occlusion treated with ranibizumab compared with ranibizumab monotherapy
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INTRODUCTION

The treatment outcomes for central retinal vein occlusion (CRVO) have dramatically improved following the advent of intravitreal administration of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) antagonists. While this approach delivers significant benefits in terms of improving best corrected visual acuity (BCVA), these agents impose a considerable burden to both the patients and the health services. The treatments may be required for years at considerable financial, time and resource cost to both the patient and the health authority. These agents address only the component of the CRVO-induced macular oedema secondary to the upregulated VEGF, without having any effect on the underlying causal pathology, which is an obstruction to venous outflow. We have previously reported the 2-year results of a randomised clinical trial (RCT) comparing visual outcomes and injection loads in patients with CRVO treated with either ranibizumab monotherapy or ranibizumab combined with a laser-induced chorioretinal anastomosis (L-CRA). Compared with the monotherapy group, the overall combination group, which included both those with a
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ABSTRACT

Objective To evaluate the functional benefits (best corrected visual acuity (BCVA), central subfield thickness, injection loads, central venous pressure (CVP)) of a laser-induced chorioretinal anastomosis (L-CRA) in patients with central retinal vein occlusion (CRVO) treated with ranibizumab compared with ranibizumab monotherapy.

Methods and Analysis This is a post-hoc analysis of the 2-year randomised ranibizumab plus L-CRA for CRVO trial. Twenty-four patients (82.5%) developed a functioning or successful L-CRA; outcome effects were monitored in the monthly as-needed ranibizumab phase from months 7 to 24 and compared with the ranibizumab monotherapy group (n=29).

Results From months 7 to 24, the mean (95% CI) injection load for the functioning L-CRA group was 2.18 (1.57 to 2.78) compared with 7.07 (6.08 to 8.06) for the control group (p<0.0001). The mean BCVA was averaged across all timepoints between the control and functioning L-CRA groups (average difference=11.46 (3.16 to 19.75) letters, p=0.01). At 2 years, there was an 82.5% reduction in the odds of high CVP (greater or equal to central retinal artery diastolic pressure) for those with a successful L-CRA compared with controls (p<0.0001).

Conclusion For patients with CRVO, adding L-CRA as a causal-based treatment to conventional therapy reduced CVP and injection loads and offered improved BCVA.

Trial registration number ACTRN1261200004864.

Key messages

What is already known about this subject?

► While the current treatments for central retinal vein occlusion (CRVO) with vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitors represent a major advance in visual outcomes, they fail to address the underlying causal pathology, which is an obstruction to venous outflow, and also involve a considerable burden of therapy for patients and health services.

What are the new findings?

► The creation of a laser-induced chorioretinal anastomosis by providing a bypass to this obstruction addresses causal pathology and reduces central venous pressure (CVP), which up until the current time has remained an unaddressed component of macular oedema, and improves both visual outcomes and treatment burden.

How might these results change the focus of research or clinical practice?

► Treatments to reduce CVP and obstruction to venous outflow in CRVO can improve outcomes, and further research into how this can be achieved safely and reliably is warranted.

Check for updates
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INTRODUCTION

The treatment outcomes for central retinal vein occlusion (CRVO) have dramatically improved following the advent of intravitreal administration of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) antagonists. While this approach delivers significant benefits in terms of improving best corrected visual acuity (BCVA), these agents impose a considerable burden to both the patients and the health services. The treatments may be required for years at considerable financial, time and resource cost to both the patient and the health authority. These agents address only the component of the CRVO-induced macular oedema secondary to the upregulated VEGF, without having any effect on the underlying causal pathology, which is an obstruction to venous outflow. We have previously reported the 2-year results of a randomised clinical trial (RCT) comparing visual outcomes and injection loads in patients with CRVO treated with either ranibizumab monotherapy or ranibizumab combined with a laser-induced chorioretinal anastomosis (L-CRA). Compared with the monotherapy group, the overall combination group, which included both those with a
successful development of an L-CRA (82.5%) and those that were unsuccessful, showed significantly lower injection loads, greater reduction in central subfield thickness (CST) and improved BCVA. As it appears to be beneficial to address the obstruction to venous outflow by an L-CRA as a causal-based treatment, in addition to conventional VEGF blockade, this post-hoc analysis investigates the association between central venous pressure (CVP), CST, injection load and BCVA in those in the group with a successful or functioning anastomosis (82.8% of the total combination group) versus those treated with ranibizumab monotherapy alone. This may illustrate what potential additional outcome benefits may be achieved where both the obstruction to venous outflow as well as the cytokine dysregulation have been addressed in CRVO.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A randomised, 24-month study was conducted at the Lions Eye Institute, Perth, Western Australia, comparing the efficacy of combining L-CRA with intravitreal ranibizumab versus ranibizumab alone (control) for patients with macular oedema secondary to CRVO. Entry criteria, treatment schedules and retreatment criteria were based on the CRUISE study. All patients were randomised to either an L-CRA or sham procedure at baseline (month 0), with monthly ranibizumab 0.5 mg injections as per the CRUISE study, then commencing for 6 months (months 1–7) from month 1 before entering the monthly maintenance pro re nata (PRN) phase from months 7 to 24. As there is some evidence that the development of an L-CRA is VEGF-dependent, intravitreal VEGF therapy was not initiated until 1 month after the L-CRA attempt. For the remaining duration of the study (months 7–24, maintenance phase), participants continued to be evaluated monthly and received intravitreal ranibizumab if they met the following criteria: (1) >50 µm increase in CST on spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) compared with the lowest previous measurement; (2) new or persistent cystic retinal changes, subretinal fluid or persistent diffuse oedema ≥270 µm in CST; and (3) loss of five or more letters on the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) chart from the previous best measurement in conjunction with any increase in CST. The primary efficacy outcome was the number of injections required during the maintenance PRN phase from months 7 to 24. Predetermined secondary outcomes were changes in BCVA, CST and CVP.

Mixed-effects model regression models were used to examine treatment effects and other covariates on injection numbers, BCVA and CST over time as per our previous publication. All participants provided written informed consent. The trial protocol can be found in the online supplemental material.

Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting or dissemination plans of our research. The trial was registered with the Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry.

RESULTS

Fifty-eight patients were enrolled into the original study, were randomised to either ranibizumab monotherapy (control) or a combination of ranibizumab with L-CRA and were included in this post-hoc analysis. Patients were 18 years or older with a treatment-naïve CRVO of <9 months duration, BCVA of 73 to 24 ETDRS letter score (Snellen equivalent 6/12 to 6/96), and CST greater or equal to 250 µm on SD-OCT. Twenty-nine patients were enrolled into each group and their baseline characteristics were comparable. A functioning L-CRA (figures 1 and 2) was created in at least one site in 24 of 29 (82.8%) patients randomised to the combination group (15 patients with two sites and 9 patients with one site), with the remaining 5 unsuccessful.

Injection load

The functioning L-CRA group required significantly less ranibizumab compared with the control group during the overall monthly PRN period from 7 to 24 months, with a mean (95% CI) of 2.18 (1.57 to 2.78) injections compared with 7.07 (6.08 to 8.06) (p<0.0001). For the second year (13–24 months), this reduced further to a mean of 0.94 (0.62 to 1.42) compared with 4.61 (3.87 to 5.47) (p<0.0001), respectively. Following the final mandatory intravitreal ranibizumab at month 7, 10 patients in the functioning L-CRA group compared with 1 patient in the control group did not require any further injections (p=0.007) for the remainder of the study. The non-functioning L-CRA group had similar injection loads to the control (p=0.61) (table 1).

Best corrected visual acuity

Mixed-effects model regression analysis adjusting for baseline (month 0) variations in BCVA, CST, age and CRVO duration was performed to examine changes in BCVA over time. To compare BCVA in the control versus combination groups, timepoint comparisons (months 7, 13 and 24) were made against the month 1 data, which was when both groups commenced mandatory monthly ranibizumab for 6 months as per the CRUISE study. While there was no significant difference in BCVA at baseline between all groups, there was some improvement comparatively in the group with the functioning L-CRA at month 1. The mean BCVA (95% CI) (ETDRS letters) at baseline (month 0) was 54.6 (50.1 to 59.1) for the control group and 60.3 (55.3 to 65.4) for the functioning L-CRA group. At the month 1 time-point (ranibizumab commenced) there was a decrease to 45.3 (39.0 to 51.6) and 56.8 (49.7 to 63.9). These then improved to 61.5 (55.2 to 67.8) and 73.0 (65.8 to 80.1) at 7 months, 61.7 (55.4 to 68.0) and 73.1 (66.0 to 80.3) at 13 months, and 61.3 (55.0 to 67.6) and 72.8 (65.6 to 79.9) at 24 months, respectively. There was a statistically significant difference in mean BCVA (95% CI) (ETDRS letters)
averaged across all timepoints between the control and functioning L-CRA groups (average difference=11.46 (3.16 to 19.75) letters, p=0.01). There was no difference between the control and non-functioning L-CRA groups (average difference=-3.64 (-18.00 to 10.73) letters, p=0.64) (figure 3).

Central subfield thickness
Baseline CSTs were well matched at baseline, with no significant difference in CST in the two treatment groups compared with the control group. Between baseline and month 1 (ranibizumab commenced), there was a mean (95% CI) CST reduction for the functioning L-CRA group of 208 µm (-314 to -102) compared with the control group (p=<0.0001), presumably due to the effects of the developing L-CRA. From month 1, the changes in CST remained stable, with no significant difference between the control group and the group with a functioning (p=0.15) or a non-functioning L-CRA (p=0.35) (table 2).

Figure 1  (A) CRVO at presentation. BCVA is 6/18. Two anastomosis attempts have been made above and below the disc (arrows). There is a small amount of haemorrhage from each as the side wall of the vein has been breached. (B) At 24 months. The superior anastomosis has not formed; however, the inferior one (arrows) shows a well-developed L-CRA. The patient’s vision has returned to 6/5 and no injections of ranibizumab were required during the PRN follow-up phase of the study. (C) OCT at baseline showing significant macular oedema. (D) OCT at 24 months showing resolved macular oedema. BCVA, best corrected visual acuity; CRVO, central retinal vein occlusion; L-CRA, laser-induced chorioretinal anastomosis; OCT, optical coherence tomography; PRN, pro re nata.

Figure 2  Combined fluorescein and indocyanine angiogram of the patient in figure 1 at 24 months. The sites of the L-CRA attempts are marked with arrows. The superior one does not appear to be draining into the choroid; however, the inferior one shows a large draining choroidal vein. L-CRA, laser-induced chorioretinal anastomosis.
Central venous pressure

Assessments were done at each visit using methods previously described.\textsuperscript{10} The results were divided into two groups: the first (‘low CVP’) being CVP less than the central retinal arterial (CRA) diastolic pressure, where retinal blood flow was likely to be maintained throughout the cardiac cycle, and the second (‘high CVP’) equal to this or above. At baseline, only 1 patient of the total 58 in the study had a CVP less than the CRA diastolic pressure, whereas at the 24-month stage using last observation carried forward 6 control patients compared with 22 in the functioning L-CRA group had a CVP less than the CRA diastolic pressure. None of the non-functioning L-CRA group (5 of 29) had a final reduction in CVP to this level (figure 4). Using logistic regression, the OR for treatment suggests an 82.5% reduction in the odds of ‘high CVP’ for those who developed a successful L-CRA compared with controls (p<0.0001).

Table 1 Estimated (95% CI) injection loads over various time intervals\textsuperscript{*}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Months 1–6</th>
<th>Months 7–12</th>
<th>Months 13–24</th>
<th>Months 7–24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>5.78 (4.97 to 6.73)</td>
<td>2.46 (1.95 to 3.12)</td>
<td>4.61 (3.87 to 5.47)</td>
<td>7.07 (6.08 to 8.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functioning L-CRA</td>
<td>5.52 (4.63 to 6.57)</td>
<td>1.24 (0.86 to 1.79)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.62 to 1.42)</td>
<td>2.18 (1.57 to 2.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count ratio comparing with to control</td>
<td>0.50 (0.33, to −0.78)</td>
<td>0.20 (0.13, to 0.32)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.23, to 0.44)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{*}Based on regression analysis.

L-CRA, laser-induced chorioretinal anastomosis.

DISCUSSION

Current therapeutic interventions (anti-VEGF agents, steroids) for CRVO-associated macular oedema, while effective in the short term, address only the sequelae of the obstruction to venous outflow and do not modify underlying causative processes. The pathogenesis of this is probably multifactorial, with raised CVP, cytokine upregulation and inflammatory components all potentially playing a role. The elevated CVP, which can be considerable in CRVO,\textsuperscript{11,12} has been previously investigated by us and found to be directly proportional to reductions in BCVA, amount of retinal ischaemia and anterior segment neovascularisation.\textsuperscript{10} To achieve maximal BCVA and stability of vision would require both the CVP and cytokine dysregulation to be addressed. The intravitreal half-life of a 0.5mg injection of ranibizumab is estimated to be 7.19 days, and while this dries out the macula, as seen by larger studies, its effect does wear...
Elevated intraretinal VEGF downregulates capillary endothelial barrier proteins, and as the VEGF blockade wears off these again leak and this is likely to be exacerbated by the elevated CVP. In this study, the CST remained very similar between the control and treatment subgroups once the ranibizumab was commenced at month 1, unlike the BCVA where there was a significant improvement in the group with a functioning L-CRA.

Timepoint comparisons for BCVA were made against the month 1 data (as in the previous study), when both groups commenced the mandatory monthly ranibizumab for 6 months. While baseline (month 0) BCVAs were comparable there was a reduction in BCVA for the control group by month 1 compared with the functional L-CRA group, presumably due to the effect of the developing anastomosis. This would tend to favour, for a comparison, the group with the lower level of BCVA due to the ceiling effect, as seen with other studies such as the CRYSTAL study, where those with lower baseline BCVA achieved higher mean letter score increases, as there was more room for improvement than in those with higher baseline BCVA.

Despite this the functioning L-CRA group achieved a visual improvement of greater than two ETDRS chart lines compared with the control group over the 2 years of follow-up.

As there remains considerable controversy concerning the nature and location of the obstruction to venous outflow, direct resolution of this appears currently

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Central subfield thickness (μm) at different timepoints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Month 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline (mean)</td>
<td>763.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>687.4 to 839.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from month 1</td>
<td>−493.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>−581.1 to −406.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functioning L-CRA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline (mean)</td>
<td>700.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>637.9 to 763.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value*</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from month 1</td>
<td>−340.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>−436.8 to −244.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference cf control</td>
<td>−208.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>−314.4 to −101.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-functioning L-CRA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline (mean)</td>
<td>774.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>605.7 to 943.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value*</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from month 1</td>
<td>−602.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>−813.0 to −392.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference cf control</td>
<td>−17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>−203.6 to 167.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Two-sample t-test for difference in means (sham+ranibizumab vs L-CRA+ranibizumab (functioning and non-functioning groups)).

cf, compared with; L-CRA, laser-induced chorioretinal anastomosis.
impractical. The only option to address the elevated CVP would be to bypass the site of the obstruction and this is achievable as an outpatient procedure with the L-CRA, where an obstructed retinal vein is anastomosed with an unobstructed choroidal vein. The success rate of anastomosis creation in the original study was 82.8% and the complications of the procedure are manageable provided there is close follow-up and prompt intervention if required. This study has shown that the creation of a successful L-CRA can significantly reduce the injection load and improve BCVA outcomes presumably by lowering the CVP and thus addressing a critical component of CRVO-associated macular oedema. The treatment burden for patients with CRVO with conventional treatments remains high, and in many patients may persist for years. For those who developed a functional L-CRA, the mean number of injections for the second year was 0.9 compared with 4.6 for the control group, with no significant difference in CST between the groups. There was no significant difference identified between the control group and the group with a non-functioning L-CRA for injection loads, CST or BCVA outcomes. While the numbers in the non-functioning L-CRA group are small, it does appear that this group was not adversely affected compared with conventional treatment for their CRVO outcomes. As the functional L-CRA group had a significant improvement in BCVA over the 2-year follow-up despite the similar CST results to the control group, this would imply that addressing the component of the macular oedema and cytokine dysfunction contributed to by the elevated CVP has a beneficial effect not only on the requirements for intravitreal therapy but also on the visual acuity outcomes.

While visual acuity outcomes for CRVO-associated macular oedema have been impressive in RCTs, which led to the widespread use of VEGF antagonists for this indication, the results in real-world-type studies have been less encouraging. Patients in these studies, which more accurately reflect the results being achieved in clinical practice, typically receive fewer injections and have poorer visual results than those in RCTs. Reasons include larger numbers of missed appointments and patients being lost to follow-up.

The creation of a successful L-CRA can significantly reduce injection loads and improve visual outcomes, presumably by lowering the CVP, thereby addressing a critical component of CRVO-associated macular oedema. With conventional therapy, the treatment burden for patients with CRVO remains high and may persist for years, with high dropout rates in real-world studies. Improving the outcomes for patients with CRVO will require ongoing efforts to address both the causal pathology as well as the cytokine dysregulation.
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