Comparison of the intraocular pressure following an intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide injection for diabetic macula oedema in vitrectomised and non-vitrectomised eyes
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ABSTRACT

Purpose To compare the intraocular pressure (IOP) after an intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide (IVTA) injection between vitrectomised and non-vitrectomised eyes in patients with diabetes and diabetic macular oedema (DME).

Design Retrospective comparative study.

Methods Medical records of 157 patients (157 eyes) with type 2 diabetes who received IVTA for DME were reviewed, and the best-corrected visual acuity, IOP and optical central retinal thickness (CRT) were compared preoperatively, at 1, 4, 12 and 24 weeks after IVTA between the vitrectomised and non-vitrectomised groups.

Results IOP significantly increased at 1 (p<0.0001), 4 (p<0.0001), 8 (p<0.0001), 12 (p=0.0019), 16 (p=0.0006) and 20 weeks (p=0.0191) in the non-vitrectomised group, whereas a significant increase was only observed at 1 (p=0.0003) and 4 weeks (p=0.0006) in the vitrectomised group. ΔIOP, IOP changes from baseline, in the non-vitrectomised group was significantly higher than that in the vitrectomised group at 4 (p=0.0014), 8 (p=0.0081), 12 (p=0.0032) and 16 weeks (p=0.0038). No significant difference was observed in logMAR and CRT at any time point after IVTA between the two groups.

Conclusions After an initial IVTA, increased IOP and ΔIOP from the baseline IOP were significantly more frequently observed in the non-vitrectomised than in the vitrectomised group. IVTA is a safer and more effective treatment option for DME in vitrectomised eyes than that for non-vitrectomised eyes.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetic macular oedema (DME) is the leading cause of visual impairment in the working-age population in association with the increasing incidence of type 2 diabetes. DME therapy primarily aimed to resolve retinal thickening and maintain or improve retinal function. Intravitreally administered antivascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) agents and corticosteroids are the two major medication categories currently used to treat DME. As VEGF inhibitors reduce the excess vascular permeability by acting on VEGF, corticosteroids act on several pathways involved in the DME pathogenesis to inhibit the inflammatory cytokines including VEGF. Corticosteroids are non-specific anti-inflammatory agents and have also been reported to antagonise the action of VEGF. The effect of three potent corticosteroids, that is, triamcinolone acetonide (TA), fluocinolone acetonide and dexamethasone, was found as treatments for DME. Only injection of intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide (IVTA) has been clinically available in Japan, and some researches have demonstrated IVTA to improve DME.

IVTA is typically effective for about 3 months in a non-vitrectomised eyes, and repeated injections may be necessary to
maintain its treatment effect. IVTA injection has potential risks including endophthalmitis, lens opacifications and intraocular pressure (IOP) elevation. Transient IOP elevation is the most commonly reported side effect of IVTA. Previous studies have reported that some variables including younger age, high baseline IOP and male gender were significant risk factors for IOP elevation after an IVTA in age-related macular degeneration, retinal vein occlusion (RVO), uveitis and other conditions. However, no studies compared the IOP after the IVTA only for DME between vitrectomised and non-vitrectomised eyes. Therefore, this study aimed to compare the IOP after IVTA between vitrectomised and non-vitrectomised eyes in patients with diabetes with DME.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This retrospective comparative clinical research adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Fukui and University of Mie and registered to the University Hospital Medical Information Network Clinical Trials Registry (UMIN) in Japan (R000043655).

Patient selection

Patients or the public were involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting or dissemination plans of our research. Medical records of patients with type 2 diabetes who received IVTA for DME were reviewed. Data of cases that received IVTA between 1 April 2012 and 31 October 2018, at the university of Fukui and University of Mie were included in this study. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) patients who had vitreous haemorrhage or endophthalmitis after IVTA, (2) those with missing post-IVTA follow-up data for shorter than 6 months and (3) those who were inappropriately assessed by the investigator. Phakic eyes were excluded to avoid the progression of cataract after IVTA.

IVTA injection

All injections were performed using topical anaesthetic drops (2% of lidocaine hydrochloride). Then, 4 mg of TA (MaQaid; Wakamoto pharmaceutical Co, Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) was injected into the vitreous cavity using a 30G needle through the pars plana. Eyes that required multiple injections were injected at a minimum interval of 3 months. Retreatment was based on clinical or optical coherence tomography (OCT)-based evidence for persistent macular oedema or decline in the visual acuity. As this was a retrospective study, the choice of retreatment was at the discretion of retina specialists.

Ophthalmic examinations

Preoperatively, at 1, 4, 12 and 24 weeks after IVTA, all the patients had ophthalmic examinations, including Goldman applanation tonometry, best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) and optical central retinal thickness (CRT) using a map mode of the OCT (SPECTRALIS: Heidelberg Engineering, Vista, California, USA). BCVA was converted into logMAR and then analysed. Eyes with IOP of ≥24 mm Hg were treated with IOP-lowering drugs (topical or oral).

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using JMP V.14 (SAS institute Inc, Tokyo, Japan). The significant differences in ΔIOP between the groups was analysed using the Mann-Whitney test. Bartlett’s test was used to examine equal variances across samples. IOP, CRT or BCVA among the different time points were compared by using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. ΔIOP and ΔCRT was defined as the amount of the change from baseline. The relationship between ΔIOP and ΔCRT was analysed by simple regression test. P values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

A total of 157 eyes of 157 patients (100 men; 57 women) were included in this study (75 in the vitrectomised and 84 in the non-vitrectomised group). Basic patient characteristics are demonstrated in table 1. In the vitrectomised group, 21 patients needed IVTA, 4 needed anti-VEGF therapy and 2 needed additional vitrectomy. In the non-vitrectomised group, 17 patients needed IVTA, 6 needed anti-VEGF therapy and 2 needed additional vitrectomy. All patients had pseudophakic eyes. Before the initial IVTA treatment, the difference in haemoglobin A1c, serum creatinine and duration of diabetes mellitus was not statistically significant between the two groups. Furthermore, no significant differences in CRT, logMAR and IOP were observed between the two groups. In both non-vitrectomised and vitrectomised groups, the CRT at 1 (p=0.0001 and p=0.0003), 4 (p<0.0001 and p<0.0001), 12 (p<0.0001 and p<0.0001) and 24 weeks (p<0.0001 and p=0.0218) was significantly lower than that in the baseline (figure 1A). BCVA significantly improved at 12 (p=0.0484 and p=0.0428) and 24 weeks (p=0.0218 and p=0.0221) in the non-vitrectomised and vitrectomised

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Baseline characteristics</th>
<th>IVTA group* (n=84)</th>
<th>IVTA after VIT group† (n=73)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>62.3±7.2</td>
<td>64.9±8.1</td>
<td>0.41*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female)</td>
<td>51/33</td>
<td>47/26</td>
<td>0.63†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of DM (years)</td>
<td>11.2±2.5</td>
<td>12.4±2.8</td>
<td>0.24*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin A1c (%)</td>
<td>7.2±0.2</td>
<td>7.3±0.3</td>
<td>0.56*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin therapy</td>
<td>36 (42.8 %)</td>
<td>31 (42.5%)</td>
<td>0.62†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine</td>
<td>2.19±0.32</td>
<td>2.31±0.42</td>
<td>0.41*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mann-Whitney test.
†χ² test.
DM, diabetes mellitus; IVTA, intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide; VIT, vitrectomy.
groups, respectively (figure 1B). The difference in logMAR and CRT was not significant between the two groups at any time point.

After the initial IVTA, IOP in the non-vitrectomised group significantly increased at 1 (p<0.0001), 4 (p<0.0001), 8 (p=0.0019), 16 (p=0.0006) and 20 weeks (p=0.0191, figure 2A). Conversely, in the vitrectomised group, the significant IOP increase was only observed at 1 (p=0.0003) and 4 weeks (p=0.0006). ΔIOP, the IOP changes from baseline, in the non-vitrectomised group was significantly larger than that in the vitrectomised group at 4 (p=0.0014), 8 (p=0.0081), 12 (p=0.0032) and 16 weeks (p=0.0038, figure 2B). The number of patients who underwent additional IVTA was 16 (19.0 %)/84 and 16 (21.9 %)/73 in the non-vitrectomised and vitrectomised groups, respectively. Seven (8.3 %) and 5 (6.8 %) patients in the non-vitrectomised and vitrectomised groups, respectively, required topical eye drop medication due to an elevated IOP of >24 mm Hg during the observational periods.

We analysed the correlation between ΔIOP and ΔCRT at 8 weeks (figure 3). In the non-vitrectomised eye, the significant correlation was found at 8 weeks (p=0.0429), but not at any other time point. On the other hand, in the vitrectomised eye, no significant relationship was found at any time point.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, the IOP after IVTA was compared between vitrectomised and non-vitrectomised eyes only in patients with diabetes with DME. After the initial IVTA, IOP and ΔIOP in the non-vitrectomised group were significantly more frequently higher than that in the vitrectomised group. Conversely, no significant differences in BCVA and CRT were observed between the two groups at any time point after IVTA. In this study, the significant relationship was noticed in the non-vitrectomised eye at 8 weeks, the timing showing the peak of IOP elevation after initial IVTA. This finding was consistent with previous reports.1516 These data indicate that early anatomical response induced by IVTA was correlated with IOP change. While, this correlation was not found in the vitrectomised eyes. This reason may be that the levels of the IOP elevation was relatively small in the vitrectomised group.

Transient IOP elevation is the most commonly reported side effect of IVTA, and several studies have evaluated the risk factors for increased IOP after IVTA.131417 Hirano et al reported that in patients with DME, age-related macular degeneration, RVO, myopic choroidal neovascularisation, uveitis, or other conditions, higher baseline IOP, younger age and simultaneous sub-Tenon capsule and intravitreal injections are risk factors for IOP elevation.14 Sonmez et al reported that in patients with RVO, diabetic retinopathy and uveitis who had received IVTA, higher baseline IOP, younger age and male gender were significant risk factors for IOP elevation after IVTA.15 However, no study has compared the IOP after IVTA only for DME between vitrectomised and non-vitrectomised eyes. To the best of our knowledge, our data first demonstrated that increased IOP and ΔIOP from the baseline IOP in the vitrectomised group were significantly less frequent than that in the non-vitrectomised group after IVTA.

Studies in rabbits have shown that IVTA more rapidly decreased in the vitrectomised eye than that in the non-vitrectomised eye.1819 Beer et al reported that the mean elimination half-life was shorter in vitrectomised eyes (3.2 days) than that in non-vitrectomised eyes (18.6 days) after a single intravitreal triamcinolone injection.20 In the present study, IOP in the non-vitrectomised group significantly increased at 1, 4, 8, 12, 16 and 20 weeks after the initial IVTA, whereas the significant increase was only observed at 1 and 4 weeks in the vitrectomised group. ΔIOP from the baseline IOP was significantly larger in the non-vitrectomised group than that in the vitrectomised group at 4, 8, 12 and 16 weeks after IVTA.
Pharmacokinetic changes after vitrectomy affecting the elimination via the anterior or posterior routes or differences in triamcinolone crystal dissolution rates due to the free flow of the fluid in the vitreous cavity cause faster TA clearance, leading to faster recovery from IOP elevation, in vitrectomised eyes than those in non-vitrectomised eyes.\textsuperscript{12,18–24}

Mason et al reported that the concentration of IVTA can be detected until 2.75 months after a single intra-vitreal injection in non-vitrectomised eyes; however, TA cannot be detected in the vitreous humour at >3 months after IVTA.\textsuperscript{13} Theoretically, undetectability of TA is faster in vitrectomised eyes than that in non-vitrectomised eyes. However, the logMAR and CRT in this study were improved in the vitrectomised group after IVTA, and no significant difference was observed in both logMAR and CRT for up to 24 weeks between the vitrectomised group and non-vitrectomised group, despite faster recovery from IOP elevation of non-vitrectomised group. For this reason, the residual TA at the periphery and behind the lens capsule or TA trapped by residual vitreous body may improve the logMAR and CRT.\textsuperscript{10} and therefore, the concentration of the residual TA might not be high enough to cause IOP elevation. IOP elevation at 16 weeks is still considered as an effect of additional IVTA.

Sub-tenon TA injection (STTA) is a major therapeutic tool for DME to deliver the steroids through the anterior route. For better understanding of the pharmacokinetics, the investigation of the IOP alteration after STTA in the vitrectomised and non-vitrectomised eyes may be informative. Also, STTA may have an advantage to reduce the risk of IOP increase and the incidence of endothalmitis compared with IVTA, as previously reported.\textsuperscript{14} To clarify this issue, further study is necessary. The limitation of this study is its retrospective, non-randomised design. The retrospective design with a relatively irregular follow-up may actually have led to an underestimation of IOP incidence as patients might have experienced an undocumented IOP elevation.

CONCLUSION

After an initial IVTA, increased IOP and ΔIOP from the baseline IOP were significantly more frequently observed in the non-vitrectomised group than that in the vitrectomised group. Conversely, the difference in logMAR and CRT was not significant between the two groups at any time point after IVTA. IVTA is a safer and more effective treatment option for DME in vitrectomised eyes than that for non-vitrectomised eyes.
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