Assessment of objective ocular cyclodeviation under monocular condition and binocular condition using fundus photography
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ABSTRACT
Objective The relationship between retinal structure and function of glaucomatous eyes has attracted a great deal of research attention. However, visual field tests are conducted under monocular condition, and ophthalmic imaging was performed in patients without occlusion. We aimed to assess the objective ocular cyclodeviation between monocular occlusion and binocular conditions using fundus photography.

Methods and analysis This study included 76 healthy participants. We obtained six photos of the right eye of each patient using fundus photography. Three of the photographs were taken under monocular conditions, and the other three, under binocular conditions. We measured the optic disc margin-fovea angle (MFA) of the line connecting one point of the disc limbus and the fovea. One-way repeated analysis of variance was used to compare the angles under both conditions. We also examined the direction of ocular rotation under the binocular condition regarding the monocular condition.

Results The MFAs were 12.12°±3.83° and 12.19°±3.95° under the monocular and binocular conditions, respectively. There was no significant difference in both MFAs (F=1.19, p=0.28). The mean cyclodeviation was 0.07°±0.80° (range: −2.40° to +1.19°, p=0.28). The mean difference in both MFAs (F=1.19, p=0.28).

Conclusion Significant cyclodeviation did not occur regardless of the existence of an occlusion. When examining the relationship between retinal structure and function, the difference in rotation angle under both conditions need not be taken into consideration if the other disease did not cause pathological cyclodeviation.

INTRODUCTION
The relationship between retinal structure and function should be evaluated during clinical follow-up and in the study of glaucoma. The structure of a glaucomatous eye is mainly assessed using fundus photography and optical coherence tomography (OCT) and measured in the binocular opening situation. The visual field (VF) sensitivity is generally determined by standard automated perimetry (SAP); it usually requires the untested eye to be occluded. Assuming that ocular rotation has occurred due to the presence of cyclophoria since the measurement conditions differ between the structure examination and VF, the overlay of both data will be misaligned. When observing the relationship between the test pointwise of the VF and the local retinal thickness, the effect of rotational misalignment may become greater as the distance from the fovea to the periphery increases. To our best knowledge, no study has evaluated the objective ocular cyclodeviation during monocular occlusion. Therefore, the possibility of cyclodeviation due to occlusion cannot be eliminated.

Significance of the study

What is already known about this subject?
► With regard to the relationship between structure and function in patients with glaucoma, attention has been focused on the possibility of improving the diagnosis by correcting the anatomical position of the fovea and optic disc centre. Several studies reported that the correction based on the positional relation of the disc and fovea did not significantly affect the diagnostic performance.

What are the new findings?
► The measurement conditions differ from the viewpoint of the presence or absence of one eye occlusion in the two tests: ocular structural imaging and visual field testing. We evaluated whether or not a difference exists in the ocular cyclodeviation due to the difference in the measurement condition using an objective cyclic angle and found that there was no significant change.

How might these results change the focus of research or clinical practice?
► The cyclodeviation due to the difference in monocular or binocular viewing conditions need not be considered when performing both visual field testing and ophthalmic imaging.
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Several methods have been used for measuring the objective cyclo deviation including artificial markers such as physical markers, corneal tattoo, scleral markings, search coil, tracking system on an excimer laser, the iris pattern imaging and fundus imaging. In this study, we used fundus photography to reproduce the conditions similar to that during OCT. Thereby, we aimed to assess the differences and variations in the angle of cyclo deviation under binocular opening situation and monocular occlusion.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

We examined 76 right eyes of 76 healthy participants (19 men and 57 women) who had no eye disease except for refractive error. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants after receiving an explanation of the procedures in this study. The mean±SD for age was 21.03±1.06 years, with a range of 18–24 years. We performed an ophthalmic examination, which included the procedures in this study. The mean±SD for age was 21.03±1.06 years, with a range of 18–24 years. We performed an ophthalmic examination, which included assessment of best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) using a 5 m Landolt chart, refraction, keratometry, VF testing using the 24–2 Swedish Interactive Threshold Algorithm-Fast Strategy (Humphrey Field Analyzer; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, California, USA) for all participants. All recruited participants had BCVA better than ±0.00 logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution, which is equivalent to a Snellen’s acuity of 20/20, and no VF defect in both eyes. The definition of VF defects was based on the Anderson and Patella criteria. We performed a cover test and measured the subjective angle using a major amblyoscope to assess for apparent strabismus and subjective cyclo deviation.

Fundus photography

The images were taken using a non-myrdiatic fundus camera nonmyd WX (KOWA, Tokyo, Japan) with 45° view of the participant’s faces were placed on the chin rest of the equipment under monocular condition, and a pasting type eyepatch was applied. The participants were instructed to fixate their eyes on the internal fixation lamp, and three fundus photographs were taken. The eye patches were removed with great care so that the midline of the face did not change, and then three photos were taken under binocular condition. Since the experiment was performed under the non-myrdiatric condition in a dark room, it took 30 s to 1 min from one flash to the natural mydrias and about 9 min in the total examination. The participants’ head position remained unchanged throughout the assessment. For this reason, all participants underwent fundus photography in the order of the monocular condition and then the binocular condition. We did not fix the position of the participants’ head with a belt to reproduce the circumstances of daily medical practice (figure 1).

Data processing

We used Image J to analyse the fundus images. The photographs were imported into Image J and analysed using the angle tool (figure 2). In this study, we did not measure the absolute angle because we focused only on the changes in the angle of cyclo deviation under the two conditions. Therefore, we did not measure the disc-foveal angle which required identification of the centre of the optic disc. Instead, the narrowest and clearest vessels on the optic disc margin were selected for each participant, and a straight line was drawn at the intersection (triangle) and fovea (asterisk) between the vessel and papillary limbus. The angle between this line (solid line) and the horizontal line (dotted line) crossing the fovea was calculated. In this study, this measurement value was referred to as the ‘optic disc margin-foveal angle’ (MFA). The MFAs had a positive value because we chose the blood vessels running in the upper region rather than those in the optic disc centre. At first, on every fundus photograph, three measurements were repeated and averaged. This procedure performed three authors independently. Then, the gross average across the data from three authors was calculated to minimise the measurement bias. Finally, the MFA of participants under each condition was determined as the mean of the angle of three fundus photographs.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using R version V.3.5.1 (http://www.r-project.org/) and anovakun V.4.8.2 (http://riseki.php.xdomain.jp/index.php?ANOVA% E5%90%9B). We used the one-way repeated analysis of variance (ANOVA) to evaluate the difference between MFA under the monocular condition and MFA under the binocular condition. Pearson’s correlation coefficient

Figure 1 Fundus photography setup during the experiment. We did not use a fixed head belt to replicate the routine medical practice. The obstruction was always performed with a pasting type eyepatch, and great care was taken when the head position was adjusted while removing the occluder. We waited for the natural mydriasis to occur after flash. Even during that time, the participants were asked to maintain a fixed head position.
was calculated to assess the correlation between the measured values under both conditions. P values of <0.05 were considered significant. We calculated the amount of cyclodeviation under both binocular and monocular conditions. A positive value indicates excyclodeviation, and a negative value indicates incyclodeviation. Statistical values were presented as mean±SD unless otherwise specified.

Patient and public involvement
This research was conducted in connection with a part of the educational courses at Niigata University of Health and Welfare. The students participated in the preparations and measurements of the study were included in the coauthors. The other students were involved in the general discussion with us. No patients and the general public were involved in this study.

RESULTS
The mean refractive error (spherical equivalent) was −1.79±2.02 D (range: −7.25 to +2.00 D). The MFAs were 12.12°±3.83° under the monocular condition and 12.1°±3.95° under the binocular condition. Figure 3 shows a histogram of the distribution of the MFA difference under both conditions. There was no significant difference between the two states as a result of one-way repeated ANOVA (F=1.19, p=0.28). The mean amount of cyclodeviation under the binocular condition as a reference on the monocular condition was $0.07°±0.80°$ (range: $-2.40°±2.75°$). A total of 38 eyes showed excyclodeviation, while another 38 showed incyclodeviation. Figure 4 shows a scatter plot of the MFA under both conditions. A significantly high correlation was observed between the measured values under both conditions ($r=0.98$, $p<0.01$). The MFA measurement under both conditions suggested that there was no difference in the cyclodeviation.

DISCUSSION
In this study, we assessed whether or not the ocular cyclodeviation would occur due to the occlusion during VF testing, which is routinely performed as part of the medical care. Results showed that there was no difference between the two conditions. Glaucoma is a neurodegenerative disease characterised by a slow and progressive degeneration of retinal ganglion cells, causing visual impairment, thinning of the retinal nerve fibre layer (RNFL), and enlargement of the optic disc cup. All of these are associated with the loss of retinal ganglion cell axons.22–24 Recently, with regard to the evaluation of retinal structure, OCT was performed for the three-dimensional measurement of retinal thickness. The analysis of the circumpapillary RNFL,23 26 ganglion cell complex27 and ganglion cell-inner plexiform layer28 in the macula enables the comprehensive observation of both function and structure in daily medical practice to determine the progress of glaucoma. Chauhan et al29 mentioned the positional relationship between the disc...
centre and fovea and stated that the correction of the anatomical horizontal line and the horizontal line of the fundus photograph may increase the ability to diagnose OCT parameters concerning the positional relationship between disc and fovea. Several studies have used the OCT parameter correction technique to determine the positional relationship between disc and fovea. However, to our knowledge, no study has reported the usefulness of improving the ability to diagnose glaucoma by correcting the disc-foveal angle. Mwanza et al. performed an RNFL profile correction based on the disc-foveal angle for healthy individuals, myopic individuals and patients with glaucoma. However, the thickness, specificity and glaucoma diagnostic performance of RNFL parameters remained inconsistent. Mayama et al. provide a comparison between with and without correction of inclination of performance of the optimum or suboptimum condition in detecting early-stage glaucoma in the study of grid-wise macular inner retinal layer thickness. As a result, they reported that the diagnostic capability was not improved under any condition by compensation of the inclination of the disc-foveal line. Moreover, it does not match the estimation of the VF defect if cyclodeviation occurred in the eye with eyepatch during the actual VF testing, even if the rotation angle correction on the OCT images is sufficient. From a different viewpoint than the previous studies, the present study suggests that unilateral occlusion does not induce cyclodeviation in normal participants who have no abnormalities in binocular vision. To summarise, correcting natural cyclodeviation caused by occlusion need not be taken into consideration when evaluating the structure and function of the glaucomatous eyes.

Functional imaging could be used to follow up on the progression of glaucoma or predict VF impairment unless a patient developed complications that can cause pathological cyclodeviation, such as superior oblique palsy.

With regard to the treatment of strabismus, the occlusion of one eye is referred to as the fusion-free position. Although cyclophoria becomes apparent in the fusion-free position, the objective cyclodeviation was not observed in the healthy participants included in this study. This experiment was performed in a dark room, and the participant was instructed to fixate with a fixation lamp. Accordingly, the fusion-free position was reproduced under both conditions, which may be the reason why the ocular rotation angle remained unchanged. It may be unnecessary to ponder this point because the fusion-free position seems to be reproduced for patients in both VF testing and imaging examination in actual clinical practice.

Our study has several limitations. First, the study was only performed in participants aged around 20 years. Miyata et al. evaluated the age-related changes in DFA in the Nagahama study and reported that older people had larger DFA than younger people and tended to exhibit exocyclic rotation according to age. Further studies are warranted among participants with various ages and glaucoma patients to examine the incidence of cyclodeviation. Second, while we found no significant cyclodeviation within 9 min, sometimes the measurement of SAP requires over 10 min depending on the degree of VF defect and the strategy employed. In such a case, cyclodeviation may change due to fatigue. A new approach using a fundus automated perimeter may help evaluate the rotation angle variability during the clinical VF testing.

In conclusion, we quantitatively analysed the objective ocular cyclodeviation under the monococular condition and the binocular condition using Image J. There was no statistically significant difference in the amount of deviation with or without occlusion. Although the measurement conditions differ based on the presence or absence of occlusion between ophthalmic imaging and VF testing, the degree of cyclodeviation need not be considered when performing both inspections.
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