Traumatic hyphaema in children: a retrospective and prospective study of outcomes at an Australian paediatric centre
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ABSTRACT

Objective This study aims to evaluate the presenting characteristics, management, outcomes and complications for paediatric traumatic hyphaema in Western Australia.

Methods and Analysis A retrospective review of medical records was conducted for consecutive patients ≤16 years of age admitted for traumatic hyphaema to Princess Margaret Hospital for Children (Perth, Australia) between January 2002 and December 2013 (n=82). From this sample, a cohort whose injury occurred ≥5 years prior attended a prospective ocular examination (n=16). Hospital records were reviewed for patient demographics, injury details, management, visual outcomes and complications. The prospective cohort underwent examination for visual and structural outcomes.

Results Most injuries (72%) resulted from projectile objects. Angle recession was present in 53% and was associated with projectiles (p=0.002). Most eyes (81%) achieved a final visual acuity of 0.3 logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) (20/40) or better. Age ≤5 years and posterior segment injury were significant predictors of final visual acuity poorer than 0.3 logMAR. At ≥5 years post-trauma, injured eyes had greater intraocular pressure (IOP) (p=0.024) and anterior chamber depth (ACD) (p=0.022) compared with sound eyes. IOP asymmetry was associated with angle recession (p=0.008) and ACD asymmetry (p=0.012).

Conclusion Poorer visual outcomes are associated with younger age at injury and posterior segment injury. Angle recession and ACD asymmetry are associated with IOP asymmetry 5–12 years after injury.

INTRODUCTION

Eye injuries in childhood are largely preventable but unfortunately remain common.1 According to WHO, at least 55 million people worldwide suffer significant eye trauma each year, and up to half of those eye injuries occur in children.2 In Australia, the annual rate of hospitalisation for eye injury is estimated at 77 per 100 000 population.3 Among children admitted to hospital for serious closed-globe injuries, traumatic hyphaema is the most common diagnosis.4 It is estimated that traumatic hyphaema affects 17–20 per 100 000 children annually,5,6 and it accounts for one-third of all paediatric eye injuries requiring hospitalisation in Australia.4

Although paediatric traumatic hyphaema can have a good visual prognosis,7 complications including rebleeding, amblyopia, elevated intraocular pressure (IOP) and secondary glaucoma can lead to visual impairment or blindness.8 9 Despite its relatively high prevalence in childhood and the potential for serious complications, few studies have
specifically examined paediatric traumatic hyphaema. Furthermore, the existing literature on the topic has limited long-term follow-up, reports few visual outcomes and lacks gonioscopic data on angle recession—a key risk factor for secondary glaucoma.7–11

The purpose of the present study is twofold: (1) to provide a retrospective profile of paediatric traumatic hyphaema in an Australian paediatric centre, and (2) to assess long-term outcomes and complications through prospective examination of a paediatric traumatic hyphaema cohort 5–12 years postinjury. These data will help to inform eye injury prevention campaigns and public health policies in Australia and provide an evidential basis for the assessment of long-term risks associated with traumatic hyphaema in children.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
A retrospective review of medical records was conducted for consecutive patients with traumatic hyphaema admitted to the emergency department or inpatient unit at Princess Margaret Hospital for Children (Perth, Western Australia) between January 2002 and December 2013. A computerised search of the hospital admission database for International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10 AM) codes S05.0, S05.1, S05.3, S05.4, S05.8 and S05.9 identified candidate eye injury records during the 12-year period (see online supplementary table 1 for explanation of codes). Inclusion criteria were age ≤16 years and closed-globe injury with traumatic hyphaema. Exclusion criteria were concurrent or past open-globe injuries and absence of hyphaema. Records were manually reviewed to determine the age at injury, sex, residential postcode, circumstances and mechanism of injury, as well as details of clinical presentation, management and follow-up. Initial visual acuity was defined as that documented on the first examination following injury (or at the last examination, if an interval existed). Mean ± SD age at injury was 9.6 ± 4.1 years. The mean age at injury did not differ significantly between boys and girls (9.36 ± 4.6 years vs 9.75 ± 4.0 years; t(80) = −0.326, p = 0.746), or between patients from urban, regional and remote areas (9.3 ± 4.3 years vs 11.3 ± 3.2 years vs 10.0 ± 3.8 years; F(2,81) = 1.069, p = 0.348, one-way ANOVA, Bonferroni correction).

RESULTS
Part 1: retrospective chart review
Records of 185 patients were identified from a search of relevant ICD-10 AM codes in the hospital admission database. A manual review identified 83 patients with traumatic hyphaema, one of whom was excluded for prior open-globe injury in the eye with a traumatic hyphaema. A total of 82 patients were included in the final analysis.

Patient demographics: age, sex and remoteness
Patient demographics are summarised in table 1. The mean ± SD age at injury was 9.6 ± 4.1 years. The mean age at injury did not differ significantly between boys and girls (9.36 ± 4.6 years vs 9.75 ± 4.0 years; t(80) = −0.326, p = 0.746), or between patients from urban, regional and remote areas (9.3 ± 4.3 years vs 11.3 ± 3.2 years vs 10.0 ± 3.8 years; F(2,81) = 1.069, p = 0.348, one-way ANOVA, Bonferroni correction).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Patient demographics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at injury, years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 to &lt;6</td>
<td>17 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 to &lt;12</td>
<td>34 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 to &lt;17</td>
<td>31 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>64 (78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>18 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remoteness area classification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major city of Australia</td>
<td>61 (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Australia</td>
<td>11 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote Australia</td>
<td>10 (12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. The authors have stated that they have no conflicts of interest. The authors have also stated that they have obtained informed consent from all patients included in the study. The study was approved by the local institutional review board. The study was funded by the Princess Margaret Hospital Foundation. The funder had no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics V.22. Relationships between categorical variables were assessed using Fisher’s exact test. Relationships between continuous or ordinal variables were assessed using Pearson’s or Spearman’s rank correlations, as appropriate. Relationships between categorical and continuous or ordinal variables were assessed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) or the Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric test, as appropriate. Risk factors for poor visual outcome were determined by multivariate logistic regression. Multiple comparisons were adjusted by the Bonferroni method as indicated in the text. Statistical significance was defined as α = 0.05.
Circumstances of injury

The circumstances of injury in the traumatic hyphaema cohort are summarised in online supplementary table 2. Traumatic hyphaemas were reported to occur during all waking hours, with injuries peaking in the afternoon (34%) and evening (27%) hours. Home (34%) was the most commonly reported place of injury, followed by outdoors (18%) and at school or daycare (13%). A large minority of injuries were sport-related (23%), with tennis (6%), football (5%) and cricket (4%) the most commonly implicated sports.

Mechanisms of injury

The mechanisms of injury were classified into two groups: projectile and non-projectile (see online supplementary table 3). A projectile mechanism of injury (ie, injury from an airborne object) was identified in 92% of cases. Small, thrown items such as rocks and nuts (27%), sports items such as balls and shuttlecocks (20%), and missiles from toy weapons (9%) were the most commonly implicated projectile objects. A non-projectile mechanism was identified in 27% of cases. Handheld items such as toys and sticks (11%), sport items such as cricket bats and hockey sticks (5%), and direct contact with body parts (5%) were the most commonly implicated non-projectile objects. Airbag deployment in automobile accidents was responsible for 2% of traumatic hyphaemas.

Time interval from injury to specialist eye care

The time interval from injury to assessment by an eye specialist at Princess Margaret Hospital ranged from 0–16) nights. Longer admission was significantly associated with the presence of associated zone 1 ocular surface injuries (p<0.001, Fisher’s exact test). Only one patient (1%) required surgical washout of the anterior chamber on day 6 postinjury for sustained IOP of ≥60 mm Hg for 2 days despite maximal medical therapy. An additional nine patients underwent surgery for repair of associated injuries including lid laceration (7%), conjunctival abrasions and contusions (9%), lid laceration (7%) and orbital fracture (6%).

Management

The vast majority (96%) of patients with traumatic hyphaema received topical steroids, approximately two-thirds (68%) received a topical cycloplegic agent, and approximately a quarter (28%) received an aqueous suppressant following injury. Antibiotics were given to 63% of patients, and antibiotic use was significantly associated with the presence of associated zone 1 ocular surface injuries (p<0.001, Fisher’s exact test). Only one patient (1%) required surgical washout of the anterior chamber on day 6 postinjury for sustained IOP of ≥60 mm Hg for 2 days despite maximal medical therapy. An additional nine patients underwent surgery for repair of associated injuries including lid laceration (7%), conjunctival abrasions and contusions (9%), lid laceration (7%) and orbital fracture (6%).

Length of admission and follow-up

The mean length of admission to hospital was 4.1 (range 0–16) nights. Longer admission was significantly associated with more remote residential postcode classification (RS²=0.100, p=0.004). Three patients were readmitted on bed-rest for rebleeding in the first week postinjury.

The mean duration of follow-up was 437 (range 2–3294) days. Shorter follow-up was significantly associated with more remote residential postcode classification (RS²=0.059, p=0.029).

Complications

The most common ocular complications in the traumatic hyphaema cohort were elevated IOP (>21 mm Hg) and angle recession. Among the 77 patients for whom tonometry data were available, 17 patients had elevated IOP (>21 mm Hg) at some point during follow-up. Maximal IOP elevation ranged from 22 mm Hg to 64 mm Hg and occurred between 0 and 30 days from the injury.
date of injury (see online supplementary figure file 1). Among the 34 patients for whom gonioscopy was documented, 18 (53%) had angle recession (figure 1A). As illustrated in figure 1B, angle recession was associated with a projectile mechanism of injury (p=0.003, Fisher’s exact test). Other less common anterior segment complications noted on follow-up were mild traumatic cataract managed conservatively in four patients (5%), significant traumatic cataract requiring lensectomy in one patient (1%), peripheral corneal blood staining in three patients (4%) and rebleeding in three patients (4%).

Several patients also developed posterior segment sequelae. Two patients (2%) developed a pigmentary maculopathy, two (2%) developed retinal tears or detachment, one (1%) was diagnosed with a traumatic macular hole and one (1%) was diagnosed with traumatic optic neuropathy. Two patients suffered more unusual and catastrophic retinal complications: one patient with extensive commotio retinae developed traumatic retinal necrosis, and another with associated choroidal rupture developed varicella zoster retinitis 2 weeks following injury.

Amblyopia in the traumatised eye was diagnosed in three patients (4%), all of whom were ≤1 year of age at the time of injury and one of whom had also developed a mild traumatic cataract.

Visual outcomes

Final visual acuity data were available for 80 patients (98%). Sixty-six patients (83%) achieved a final visual acuity better than 0.30 logMAR (20/40), 10 (12%) achieved a final visual acuity poorer than 0.30 logMAR but better than 1.00 logMAR (20/200), and four (5%) had a final visual acuity poorer than 1.00 logMAR.

Table 3 summarises the result of a multivariate logistic regression for risk factors predictive of a final visual acuity of 0.30 logMAR or worse. Age 5 years or younger at the time of injury and associated injuries in zone 3 (ie, posterior segment) were significant predictors of final visual acuity of less than 0.30 logMAR. Sex, remote residential area, projectile mechanism of injury and associated injuries in zone 1 and zone 2 were not significant predictors of poor visual outcome.

Part 2: Prospective recall examination

From the original cohort of 82 patients, 59 had sustained their injury ≥5 years prior. From this subset of 59 patients, current contact information was available for 34 (58%), of whom 16 (27%) scheduled and attended a recall examination (6 female, 10 male). The mean age at the time of recall examination was 20.6 (range 15–27) years. The mean interval from traumatic hyphaema to recall examination was 9.1 (range 5.6–12.6) years.

Visual function

The visual acuity in the injured eye and the sound eye did not differ significantly (injured eye mean: −0.05 [range −0.20 to 0.20] logMAR; sound eye mean: −0.05 [range −0.20 to 0.10] logMAR; t[15]=0.364, p=0.721).

Examination of automated perimetry results revealed no glaucomatous visual defects in the recall examination group. The magnitude of the perimetric mean deviation (MD) was significantly greater for the injured eye compared with the sound eye (−2.75 [range −5.82 to −0.06] dB vs −1.58 [range −4.59 to 0.15] dB; t[14]=−2.924, p=0.011). The perimetric pattern standard deviation (PSD) of the injured eye and sound eye did not differ significantly (2.05 [range 1.37–5.09] dB vs 1.59 [range 1.00–2.43] dB; t[14]=1.601, p=0.132).

Intraocular pressure

IOP in the injured eye was significantly greater compared with the sound eye (16.7 [range 9–23] mm Hg vs 15.6 [range 8–22] mm Hg; t[15]=2.53, p=0.024).

The mean IOP asymmetry (injured eye IOP – sound eye IOP) was 1.14 (range −2.0 to 4.0) mm Hg. IOP asymmetry was not significantly correlated with the injured eye visual acuity (R²=0.046, p=0.426), MD (R²=0.005,
Structural outcomes
Ten patients (63%) had angle recession in the injured eye on gonioscopy. One patient had a unilateral cataract attributed to the previous trauma. All patients were judged to have structurally normal optic discs on funduscopy.

Ocular biometry revealed a significantly greater anterior chamber depth (ACD) in the injured eye compared with the sound eye (3.75 [range 3.25–4.12] mm vs 3.66 [range 3.15–3.93] mm; t[13]=2.610, p=0.022), but no significant difference in axial length (23.96 [range 22.53–25.69] mm vs 23.94 [range 22.49–25.78] mm; t[13]=0.154, p=0.880). The mean ACD asymmetry (injured eye IOP – sound eye IOP) was 0.09 (range −0.08 to 0.33) mm. Patients with traumatic hyphaema with angle recession had significantly greater ACD asymmetry compared with those with normal angle structures (0.15 [range −0.08 to 0.33] mm vs 0.02 [range −0.03 to 0.05] mm; t[7.818]=−2.370, p=0.046).

OCT of the optic disc showed no significant difference in the global average RNFL thickness between the injured and sound eyes (96.6 [range 66–118] µm vs 99.0 [range 81–120] µm; t[15]=1.56, p=0.140). The mean RNFL asymmetry (injured eye – sound eye) was −2.4 (range −16 to 5) µm. There was no significant difference in RNFL asymmetry between patients with and those without angle recession (−2.8 [range −16 to 3] µm vs −2.2 [range −15 to 5] µm; t[14]=−0.190, p=0.852) and no significant correlation between RNFL asymmetry and ACD asymmetry (R²=0.061, p=0.396).

Figure 2 Structural predictors of intraocular pressure (IOP) asymmetry at least 5 years following unilateral traumatic hyphaema. IOP asymmetry was computed as the injured eye IOP minus the sound eye IOP. (A) The relationship between IOP asymmetry and angle recession. Bars represent the mean IOP asymmetry. Error bars represent 95% CI. (B) The relation between IOP asymmetry and anterior chamber depth (ACD) asymmetry. ACD asymmetry was computed as the injured eye ACD minus the sound eye ACD. Circles represent individual patient data. The dashed line represents the linear regression line.

As illustrated in figure 2A, patients with traumatic hyphaema with angle recession had significantly greater IOP asymmetry compared with those with normal angle structures (angle recession mean: 2.0 [range −1 to 4] mm Hg; normal angle mean: −0.3 [range −2 to 1] mm Hg; t[14]=−3.089, p=0.008). Angle recession was not associated with significant differences in injured eye visual acuity (−0.04 [range −0.20 to 0.20] logMAR vs −0.06 [range −0.10 to 0.00] logMAR; t[14]=−0.589, p=−0.566), MD (−2.66 [range −5.82 to −0.06] dB vs −2.94 [range −4.64 to −1.99] dB; t[13]=−0.347, p=0.432) or PSD (2.15 [range 1.37–5.09] dB vs 1.84 [1.51–2.12] dB; t[13]=−0.553, p=0.590).

Similarly, ACD asymmetry (injured eye – sound eye) showed a significant positive correlation with IOP asymmetry (R²=0.421, p=0.012), such that patients with traumatic hyphaema with greater ACD asymmetry tended to have relatively higher IOPs in their injured eye. A linear regression was computed for the prediction of IOP asymmetry based on the amount of ACD asymmetry, and a significant regression equation was found (R²=0.423, p=0.012), with an R² of 0.423 (figure 2B). The predicted interocular IOP asymmetry in mm Hg was equal to 0.106+9.298 ×(ACD asymmetry, mm). Therefore, for each additional 0.1 mm of interocular ACD asymmetry, interocular IOP asymmetry is predicted to increase by approximately 0.9 mm Hg. ACD asymmetry was not significantly correlated with injured eye visual acuity (R²=0.113, p=0.241), MD (R²=0.200, p=0.126) or PSD (R²=0.014, p=0.700).

RNFL asymmetry showed a significant positive correlation with the injured eye MD (R²=0.393, p=0.012), such that injured eyes with a relatively thinner RNFL had relatively more depressed MD. There was no significant correlation between RNFL asymmetry and injured eye visual acuity (R²=0.092, p=0.252), PSD (R²=0.013, p=0.688) or IOP asymmetry (R²=0.040, p=0.455).

DISCUSSION
This retrospective and prospective cohort study describes the clinical profile and current practices for paediatric traumatic hyphaema in Western Australia and helps to establish the risk factors for poor visual outcome and long-term complications.

The geographical distribution of the study cohort (74% urban, 13% regional, 12% remote) generally reflects the population distribution in Western Australia (71.5% urban, 21.8% regional, 6.8% remote), suggesting that our cohort is broadly representative of the study population. As Princess Margaret Hospital was the only paediatric hospital in Western Australia at the time, it is unlikely that serious eye injuries requiring hospital admission would have been referred elsewhere.

Consistent with prior studies, the majority of traumatic hyphaemias occurred in males, and the most common place of injury was the home. The prevalence of injury related to sports (23%) and caused by...
missiles launched by ‘toy’ weapons (9%) was in general agreement with prior reports. However, the prevalence of a projectile mechanism of injury in our study was relatively high (72%), possibly reflecting a selection bias towards more severe injury in our study population that excluded patients managed exclusively as outpatients. These findings suggest that public health measures to prevent traumatic hyphaema in children may best be aimed towards safety in the home environment, eye protection in sports and awareness of the potential for eye injury from weapons commonly considered ‘toys’, such as slingshots and foam pellet guns.

Some of the defining challenges to healthcare in Western Australia are the delivery of care over a vast, sparsely populated area, and the differing needs of remote, regional and urban Australians. In our study, patients from remote areas experienced a small but significant delay in assessment by an eye specialist, suggesting reduced access to specialist care. Although patients from remote areas also faced longer hospital admissions and shorter duration of follow-up, these differences were likely driven by the challenges of travel and accommodation for patients and families from remote areas rather than injury severity. Despite these differences in time to specialist care and follow-up, residential remoteness was not a significant predictor of final visual outcome.

Acutely elevated IOP and angle recession were common complications in our study cohort. Postinjury IOP elevation >21 mm Hg was noted in approximately 50% of our study patients, slightly higher than that reported in a similar population, and IOP typically peaked within 2 weeks of injury. Although several patients required surgery, most surgeries were not directly related to their hyphaema. In line with previously published data, most hyphaemases resolved with medical management alone, and only a small minority (1%) required surgical washout of the anterior chamber. Angle recession represents a tear of the ciliary body at the iris root caused by blunt force trauma. It was observed in approximately half of patients in whom gonioscopy was done. To our knowledge, this is the first study to offer an estimate of the incidence of angle recession following traumatic hyphaema in children. By comparison, the incidence of angle recession following ocular contusion in adults varies from 71% to 83%. The reason for this difference is unknown, but may reflect greater elasticity of the iris root and resilience of the ocular tissues to blunt trauma in children. Among the subset of children who suffered injury from a projectile mechanism, however, the incidence of angle recession was significantly greater, and approached that reported for adults.

Closed-globe traumatic hyphaema in children has a favourable visual prognosis. Although the great majority of patients (83%) in our study had a final visual acuity of 0.30 logMAR (20/40) or better, a minority (17%) fared more poorly. Age <5 years at the time of injury and associated injury to the posterior segment were independent predictors of poorer final visual acuity. Amblyopia in the injured eye was a limiting factor in final visual acuity for several patients <5 years of age, serving as a reminder that clinicians should remain vigilant for secondary amblyopia in young patients with traumatic hyphaema. Among the posterior segment injuries associated with poorer visual outcomes was a case of choroidal rupture followed by varicella zoster retinitis in the immediate postinjury period. Although this sequence of events might be dismissed as incidental, viral retinitis in children has been linked previously with chorioretinal trauma.

The recall examinations conducted between 5 and 12 years postinjury revealed several important insights. Previous cohort studies in adults estimate the incidence of secondary glaucoma following traumatic hyphaema at 5%–10% within 10 years of injury. If similar rates also apply to children, then we might have expected one or two patients in our study cohort to have developed secondary glaucoma, yet none had. Although perimetric and structural markers of glaucoma were absent, the recalled patients did exhibit a significant asymmetry in IOP (injured eye IOP > sound eye IOP), which is a known risk factor for glaucoma. Additionally, Girkin et al previously showed that advancing age is an independent risk factor for glaucoma following ocular contusion, so although the patients in our study did not yet have glaucoma their ultimate risk may not be reduced but rather delayed owing to their young age. In this way, although glaucoma was not evident in the recall examination cohort, this finding does not argue against long-term monitoring for post-traumatic glaucoma.

Although visual field testing performed at the recall examination showed no changes indicative of glaucoma, injured eyes had a small but significant decrement in MD compared with the sound eye. This asymmetry was not apparent in PSD scores, however, suggesting that the MD asymmetry may relate to subtle traumatic optic neuropathy acquired at the time of injury, rather than glaucomatous change.

Perhaps most intriguing, the recall examination revealed significant relationships between ACD asymmetry, IOP asymmetry and angle recession. Indeed, the mean ACD asymmetry in patients with angle recession was 0.13 mm greater than in patients without angle recession, and a linear regression showed that for each 0.1 mm increase in ACD asymmetry, IOP asymmetry is predicted to increase by 0.9 mm Hg. Although limited by a small sample size, our results suggest that ACD asymmetry may be a meaningful surrogate biomarker for angle recession and glaucoma risk. Since ocular biomey may be generally well tolerated and non-invasive, it holds promise as a means of assessing structural damage in patients with traumatic hyphaema, such as children, who may be intolerant of gonioscopy.
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