Evaluation of the safety and effectiveness of oral propranolol in patients with von Hippel-Lindau disease and retinal hemangioblastomas: phase III clinical trial
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ABSTRACT

Background von Hippel-Lindau disease (VHL) is a multisystem cancer syndrome caused by mutations in the VHL gene. Retinal hemangioblastoma is one of the most common tumours, and when it appears near the optic nerve, its treatment is challenging and risky. To date, no treatment has proven effective in changing the course of the disease. This study was designed to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of propranolol in controlling these tumours.

Methods Seven patients were included. All patients took a daily dose of 120 mg of propranolol for 1 year. Clinical variables were assessed at baseline, and at 1, 3, 6, 9 and 12 months. The primary endpoint of the study was the number and size of retinal hemangioblastomas. On every visit, retinal outcomes and blood biomarkers (such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and miR210) were analysed.

Results Number and size of retinal hemangioblastomas remained stable in all patients. All of them had initially increased levels of VEGF and miR210. There was a gradual reabsorption of exudates in two patients, correlating with a progressive decrease of both biomarkers. The only adverse effect reported was hypotension in one patient.

Conclusions Propranolol could be used to treat retinal hemangioblastomas in VHL patients, although more studies are needed to determine the ideal dose and long-term effect. VEGF and miR210 should be explored as biomarkers of disease activity. As far as we know, these are the first biomarkers proposed to monitor the VHL disease activity.

Trial registration number 2014-003671-30

BACKGROUND

Genetics and molecular basis

Von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) disease is a rare autosomal dominant disease with an incidence of 1 in 36 000 births.1 It is caused by germline mutations in the tumour suppressor gene VHL (3p25-26), which lead to the development of different tumours throughout life: retinal and central nervous system (CNS) hemangioblastomas, renal cancer, pheochromocytomas/paragangliomas, endolymphatic sac tumours, pancreatic cystadenomas and neuroendocrine tumours, cystadenomas in the epididymis and broad ligament.12
VHL patients are heterozygous at birth, and only cells that undergo a second-hit somatic mutation of the wild-type allele (loss of heterozygosity) will develop tumours. This loss of heterozygosity will cause a subsequent loss of function of the protein complex/ubiquitin kinase of which pVHL is a key element. This results in accumulation of hypoxia-inducible factors (HIFs) within the cytoplasm and their secondary translocation to the cell nucleus, where they initiate the transcription of a number of genes involved in cell proliferation, angiogenesis, vascular tone and erythropoiesis, among other processes. The increased expression of these factors is associated with the development of highly vascular tumours.

**Ophthalmic characteristics**

Retinal hemangioblastomas are a well-recognised finding in VHL. They are among the most frequent (49% to 85%) and earliest presenting tumours in the disease, so the ophthalmologist is necessarily involved in the follow-up and care of these patients. Most hemangioblastomas are symptomatic at presentation, one-third of patients have multiple tumours and up to half the patients have bilateral involvement. The most frequent location is the peripheral retina, although they can be found juxtapapillary.

Early tumours are small red-round lesions located between an arteriole and a venule. Well-established tumours are seen as a round orange-red mass, located in the temporal periphery with dilatation, and tortuosity of the supplying artery and draining vein extending from the optic disc. Secondary effects of retinal hemangioblastoma are predominantly exudative (25%) causing leakage with macular exudate, or tractional (9%), causing retinal detachment. Macular pucker is observed in 9% of the eyes. Other complications include vitreous haemorrhage, secondary glaucoma, phthisis bulbi and loss of the eye.

The diagnosis can be made by screening of patients at risk or in symptomatic patients with macular exudate or retinal detachment. Funduscopy, fluorescein angiography and optical coherence tomography (OCT) are useful for the diagnosis.

Without treatment, and sometimes regardless of the standard treatments, these lesions continue to grow and affect the visual function.

Depending on the size and the location, there are different treatment options. The visual outcome is greatly dependent on the size, location and number of tumours and the presence of complications such as exudative or tractional retinal detachment. Small peripheral lesions are usually treated with laser photocoagulation, while in larger ones—especially those with exudative retinal detachment—cryotherapy is applied. Photodynamic therapy has been used with uneven results, such as anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) drugs (bevacizumab, ranibizumab), which do not provide long-term cessation of tumour growth. Other treatments include brachytherapy. Potential complications, such as non-absorbing vitreous haemorrhage, epiretinal fibrosis and tractional retinal detachment, can be treated by vitreoretinal surgery and, if necessary, endolaser photocoagulation.

Treatment of juxtapapillary and optic nerve hemangioblastomas is particularly difficult and risky. As tumours may remain quiescent for many years and due to the high risk of iatrogenic visual loss with standard treatments, in asymptomatic juxtapapillary hemangioblastomas without exudation, only monitoring is recommended.

To date, no pharmacological treatment has proven effective in changing the course of the disease.

**In vitro assays**

Propranolol is a well-known non-selective β-blocker agent marketed for more than 50 years, used for cardiac and neurological diseases. It has proven effective in the treatment of infantile hemangioma, and nowadays it is considered its first-line treatment. It has also been tested in some malignant tumours. The results of different studies support the role of β-adrenergic signalling pathways in the regulation of breast tumour progression and—as it inhibits cell proliferation on in vitro cell cultures—it has been proposed a role on antiangiogenesis and anti-tumour effects. A recent publication describes its off-label use as an adjuvant treatment in melanoma, where it seems to protect patients from recurrence, what could lead to a decrease in patients’ mortality.

In a previous work, our group developed primary cell cultures from surgically resected CNS hemangioblastomas and performed in vitro assays with propranolol. We demonstrated that propranolol decreased the expression of HIF target genes in hemangioblastoma cells and affected their viability, probably acting as an antiangiogenic agent inhibiting VEGF, and as a proapoptotic drug. Based on these previous outcomes, we wanted to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of propranolol for the treatment of retinal hemangioblastomas in VHL patients, to determine if retinal hemangioblastomas decrease in size or remain stable compared with baseline, after the completion of the treatment period.

**METHODS**

We designed an open clinical trial, with only one arm of treatment, to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of propranolol in VHL patients with retinal hemangioblastomas.

The association of patients Alianza VHL informed about and invited their members to participate in the study. The participants should meet one of the following two criteria:

1. Papillary or juxtapapillary hemangioblastomas, non-eligible for standard treatment (laser photocoagulation or cryotherapy) due to the high risk of iatrogenic visual loss.
2. Peripheral retinal hemangioblastomas for which patients had rejected standard treatments.
Seven VHL patients from different regions of Spain were included. All of them had a positive genetic diagnosis of VHL. Four patients had previous visual impairment as a result of exudation due to active tumours. Some of them had undergone previous treatments, like laser photocoagulation or cryotherapy.

The pharmaceutical form and strength used was propranolol 40 mg, film-coated tablets, 1 every 8 hours up to a total dosage of 120 mg/day, achieved in 7–10 days. An experienced cardiologist in handling propranolol established the dosage regimen. The patients did not receive other treatments during the study.

The primary endpoint of the study was the number and size of all the retinal hemangioblastomas. The secondary endpoints were visual acuity, biomicroscopy, intraocular pressure, funduscopy, OCT, exudation and exudative retinal detachment.

The follow-up visits were scheduled at the Ophthalmology Department, Virgen de la Salud Hospital (Toledo), at baseline and at months 1, 3, 6, 9 and 12 of treatment. At each visit, an ophthalmologist performed the measurement of the endpoints and took fundus photographs. Regardless of the clinical trial, these patients continued the controls by their regular ophthalmologists.

The secondary objective of the study was to determine if the selected dosage of propranolol was effective in controlling the growth of CNS hemangioblastomas. The neurosurgeon evaluated the MRI with contrast, obtained from the brain and spinal cord, before and after the study completion.

The exploratory objectives were the detection and quantification of plasma biomarkers VEGF and miR210 along the clinical trial, and the detection of HIF-controlled targets in the peripheral blood leukocytes. These biomarkers were evaluated to check a possible relationship between their levels and the disease activity. For this aim, a blood sample was extracted from every patient in each visit and sent to Dr Botella’s lab (CIB-CSIC).

Additional biomarkers such as BAX, Cas-9, Epo, Sox-2 and Oct-4 were also tested as a control of the propranolol effect.

**RESULTS**

Table 1 shows the summary of patients’ characteristics, and online supplementary table 1 shows the main data obtained during the follow-up visits. Number and size of all retinal tumours remained stable in all patients. There was an unexpected finding, a progressive reabsorption of retinal exudates in the two patients who had them initially (patients 1 and 3, figures 1 and 2, respectively). The clearest reabsorption occurred in patient 1, being almost complete after 6 months of treatment.

Patient 6 had a previous macular pucker with severe visual impairment. She had a retinal scheduled surgery and was admitted to the trial in an attempt to avoid it. Finally, she withdrew after 6 months to undergo surgery.

The only adverse effect reported was hypotension suffered by patient 4, so there was a slower dose increase to achieve the target dosage of 120 mg.

No significant changes were found in the growth pattern of CNS hemangioblastomas (supplementary table 2). Only two patients remained stable regarding the oedema and the cystic or nodular component of their hemangioblastomas. None of them required neurosurgery along the clinical trial.

As previously reported, along the trial, VEGF protein levels significantly decreased from the first month of treatment, reaching normal levels (concentration <50 pg/mL) in all cases after 3 months of treatment. There was an increase in the Bax gene expression, a proapoptotic gene, and a reduction in Epo, Sox-2 and Oct-4, all genes involved in angiogenesis and stemness. miR210 decreased at the beginning of the treatment.

After the end of the trial, patients 1, 2, 5 and 7 decided to continue taking propranolol off-label. Some time later, no new exudates, new tumours or growth of the preexistent ones were reported in these patients (see
supplementary table 1). Patient 5 finally discontinued the medication and 3 months later had to undergo a serial of different treatments due to an increase in tumoural activity, with a subsequent loss of vision.

**DISCUSSION**

We present the results of a clinical trial designed to test an old drug—propranolol—to treat a common manifestation of a rare disease—VHL—for which there is no pharmacological treatment. Four of our patients had juxtapapillary tumours, and therefore a high risk of iatrogenic visual loss if treated. Others had refused more standard treatments because of the tumour progression despite previous treatment.

Even though we may think that our findings could be due to tumour quiescence or just the natural course of VHL disease, spontaneous regression of retinal exudates is an unusual event in the absence of a specific treatment.

On the other hand, the reabsorption of retinal exudation correlated with the highest reductions of VEGF protein levels measured in peripheral blood in patient 1, so we may attribute these findings to the propranolol effect. This fact could be explained by the role proposed for propranolol in angiogenesis, since it decreases VEGF levels, produces vasoconstriction and leads to cellular apoptosis. All these effects may be contributing to stabilisation or regression of hemangioblastoma tumour cells. miR210 had a parallel evolution to VEGF. We could consider plasma levels of VEGF and miR210 as potential biomarkers for disease activity in VHL, and they may also be good for monitoring the response to a possible treatment, although further studies are necessary in order to confirm its clinical usefulness.

As complementary information, to give strength to our data, we asked the patients for a copy of their clinical histories, so we could draw their clinical evolutions. Only three patients could retrieve this interesting information, represented in figure 3. The beginning of the clinical trial is marked by a black line.

Propranolol is a well-known and cheap drug with few adverse effects, which could be used in rare diseases like VHL, where in some cases, despite multiple treatments for the tumour and their complications, patients suffer from vision loss. These results provide us with the basis to explore higher doses of propranolol—up to 3 mg/kg body weight/day, adjusting dose by body weight—and even consider the use of a more selective β-blocker that could be more effective. It may be interesting as well to explore the effect of intravitreal injection of propranolol in clinical trials, as the case report recently published and based on the previous work by Nourinia et al, to determine the safe dose of intravitreal propranolol. Using intravitreal administration, the drug would achieve more easily the retina.

Although a recent publication supports our hypothesis that propranolol reduces hemangioblastoma growth, more studies are needed and with more patients to confirm these results, preferably with fewer previous invasive treatments (such as laser photocoagulation).

**CONCLUSIONS**

Our outcomes suggest that propranolol could be useful for the treatment of retinal hemangioblastomas in VHL patients, especially when retinal exudates are present, but more studies are needed to confirm its possible therapeutic value in this group of patients.

Plasma levels of VEGF and miR210 should be explored as biomarkers of the disease activity. If confirmed, they will be the first biomarkers to monitor the VHL disease.

It would also be interesting to explore the effect of propranolol on other diseases where exudation and/or high VEGF levels are present.

The results of this clinical trial led to the first international orphan drug designation to treat von
Hippel-Lindau disease (EU/3/17/1841), granted by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) for propranolol, January 2017.
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