In vivo crystalline lens measurements with novel swept-source optical coherent tomography: an investigation on variability of measurement
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the reproducibility of in vivo crystalline lens measurements obtained by novel commercially available swept-source (SS) optical coherence tomography (OCT) specifically designed for anterior segment imaging.

Methods and analysis: One eye from each of 30 healthy subjects was randomly selected using the CASIA2 (Tomey, Nagoya, Japan) in two separate visits within a week. Each eye was imaged twice. After image scanning, the anterior and posterior lens curvatures and lens thickness were calculated automatically by the CASIA2 built-in program at 0 dioptre (D) (static), –1 D, –3 D and –5 D accommodative stress. The intraobserver and intervisit reproducibility coefficient (RC) and intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) were calculated.

Results: The intraobserver and intervisit RCs ranged from 0.824 to 1.254 mm and 0.789 to 0.911 mm for anterior lens curvature, from 0.276 to 0.299 mm and 0.221 to 0.270 mm for posterior lens curvature and from 0.065 to 0.094 mm and 0.054 to 0.132 mm for lens thickness, respectively. The intraobserver and intervisit ICCs ranged from 0.831 to 0.865 and 0.828 to 0.914 for anterior lens curvature, from 0.832 to 0.898 and 0.840 to 0.933 for posterior lens curvature and from 0.980 to 0.992 and 0.942 to 0.995 for lens thickness. High ICC values were observed for each measurement regardless of accommodative stress. RCs in younger subjects tended to be larger than those in older subjects.

Conclusions: This novel anterior segment SS-OCT instrument produced reliable in vivo crystalline lens measurement with good repeatability and reproducibility regardless of accommodation stress.

INTRODUCTION

Non-invasive methods that enable in vivo visualisation of tissues are of particular relevance in ophthalmology because they provide important information about the physiology and diseases of the eye. Previous biometric research of human crystalline lenses includes an ex vivo study using specimens from autopsies,1–3 and more recently, an in vivo human study with crystalline lensometry using slit-lamp photography4 5 and optical coherence tomography (OCT).6 However, the accuracy of the optical techniques of Purkinje images7 and Scheimpflug photography8 is limited by the fact that the calculations of anterior lens surface curvature must be made through the optics of the anterior segment of the eye, while the posterior lens surface curvature measurement must be made through the lens itself.
which has an unknown refractive index gradient. Moreover, the reproducibility of these methods is not fully understood. In recent years, OCT is increasingly used because it can provide anterior and posterior segment scans without contact with the eye, making the examination fast, safe and comfortable, and with a high axial and transverse spatial resolution. However, OCT imaging of the crystalline lens has imposed some challenges, including a limited axial range, compromised by the resolution of the spectrometer or a limited instantaneous linewidth of tunable light source, which may be insufficient to image the entire anterior segment of the eye. Thus, custom-made OCT has been used increasingly to study accommodation in vivo and in real time.

The CASIA2 scanner (Tomey, Nagoya, Japan) is a novel commercial swept-source (SS) OCT, with a scan speed of 50,000 A-scans per second. With a frame size of 800 A-scans, it takes 0.016 s to capture a single cross-sectional image. This scanner improves optical coherence, producing a higher sensitivity for depth. The measurement range of the CASIA SS-1000 (Tomey), another novel SS-OCT scanner specifically designed for anterior segment imaging, is \( \varphi 16 \text{ mm} \times 6 \text{ mm} \), but the CASIA2 can measure \( \varphi 16 \text{ mm} \times 13 \text{ mm} \). Therefore, it is possible to obtain data from the cornea to the posterior lens in one image.

While the CASIA2 enables automatic anterior and posterior curvature, and lens thickness measurements, due to deeper penetration in the axial direction compared with other commercially available OCT, its validity and reproducibility are still unknown. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the intervisit and intraobserver variability of the CASIA2 OCT for in vivo crystalline lens biometric measurements.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Ethics Committee of Saitama Medical University approved this cross-sectional comparative study, which was conducted in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Subjects were included if they were at least 20 years old, fulfilled the eligibility requirements detailed below and signed an informed consent form between January 2016 and April 2016 at Saitama Medical University Hospital. All subjects underwent complete ocular examinations.

Subjects

Thirty healthy subjects with no history of ocular disease were consecutively invited for cataract and anterior chamber imaging in two separate visits within a week. Only one eye from each subject was randomly selected in the analysis. None of the subjects had apparent ocular disease, none had a history of intraocular surgery or laser procedure and none were using topical or systemic medication. Eyes with the best corrected visual acuity of \(<20/40\), a spherical refraction of \(<-10.0\) or \(+3.0\) dioptres (D) and a cylinder correction of \(\pm 3.0\) D were excluded. Axial length data were obtained with OA-2000 (Tomey), and objective refraction was performed with the Tonoref 2 autorefractor/tonometer (Nidek Co., Aichi, Japan).

Anterior segment and lens imaging

The CASIA2 is a novel SS-OCT specifically designed for imaging the anterior segment. With a substantial improvement in scan speed (50,000 A-scans per second), it takes 0.016 s to capture a single cross-sectional image (each with 800 A-scans) \(360^\circ\) around the anterior segment in 2.4 s. To avoid lid artefact, participants were instructed to pull down the lower lid against the lower orbital rim to expose the lower limbus, while the technician elevated the upper lid against the upper orbital rim to expose the upper limbus. None of the images obtained had any lid artefacts. After correcting refractive error by using a built-in program, the image was scanned at 0 dioptre (D) (static), \(-1\) D, \(-3\) D and \(-5\) D accommodative stress.

Image analysis

The scans were adjusted for refraction distortion at the air corneal interface using the CASIA2 built-in program. The anterior and posterior lens curvatures and lens thickness were then measured by a single observer and calculated automatically by the CASIA2 scanner (figure 1).

Statistical analyses

Data are expressed as mean±SD for continuous variables. Baseline characteristics were compared using unpaired t-tests. In accordance with a previous ophthalmological study, \(^{15} \, ^{16}\) the intraobserver and intervisit reproducibility coefficient (RC) and intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) were calculated. The RC was defined as \(1.96 \times \sqrt{2 \times \text{within-subject SD}}\). The interpretation was that 95% of the difference between measurements obtained from two separate occasions would be less than the RC. ICC was classified as follows: \(<0.75\) represented poor to moderate reliability, \(0.75–0.90\) represented good reliability and \(>0.90\) represented excellent reliability for clinical measurements. We divided age into two categories: \(<35\) years (n=17) and \(\geq 35\) years (n=13). A p value of \(<0.05\) indicated a statistically significant difference. All statistical analyses were performed using JMP V.10.1 software (SAS Institute) and SPSS V.22 software (Japan IBM, Tokyo, Japan).

RESULTS

The mean (SD) age of the 30 subjects was 35.6 (11.7) years and the mean spherical error was \(-2.7\) (2.8) D. The mean axial length was 24.8 (1.5) mm (table 1). Anterior lens curvature and lens thickness were
Figure 1  Optical coherence tomography images obtained from the CASIA2 anterior and posterior lens boundary are automatically drawn (orange dot lines), and their curvatures are calculated by the built-in software. Optic axis of lens (vertical orange dot lines) and vertex normal (vertical blue solid line) are automatically drawn and crystalline lens thickness is also calculated by the built-in software (vertical orange solid line).

### Table 1  Baseline characteristics of the subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall (n=30)</th>
<th>Younger (age&lt;35) (n=17)</th>
<th>Older (age≥35) (n=13)</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (30)</td>
<td>5 (29)</td>
<td>4 (31)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>35.6±11.7</td>
<td>26.6±4.3</td>
<td>47.2±6.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spherical equivalent error (D)</td>
<td>2.7±2.8</td>
<td>3.5±2.9</td>
<td>2.7±2.8</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial length (mm)</td>
<td>24.8±1.5</td>
<td>25.3±1.4</td>
<td>24.2±1.5</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal thickness (mm)</td>
<td>520.1±25.5</td>
<td>519.2±29.2</td>
<td>521.2±20.9</td>
<td>0.832</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Crystalline lens biometry

**Static condition (+0 D)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall (n=30)</th>
<th>Younger (age&lt;35) (n=17)</th>
<th>Older (age≥35) (n=13)</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior lens curvature (mm)</td>
<td>10.4±1.5</td>
<td>11.5±1.3</td>
<td>9.5±1.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior lens curvature (mm)</td>
<td>5.8±0.4</td>
<td>6.1±0.4</td>
<td>5.8±0.3</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens thickness (mm)</td>
<td>3.9±0.3</td>
<td>3.7±0.2</td>
<td>4.2±0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**−1 D accommodation stimuli**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall (n=30)</th>
<th>Younger (age&lt;35) (n=17)</th>
<th>Older (age≥35) (n=13)</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior lens curvature (mm)</td>
<td>9.5±1.3</td>
<td>11.3±1.3</td>
<td>10.3±1.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior lens curvature (mm)</td>
<td>5.8±0.4</td>
<td>6.0±0.4</td>
<td>5.7±0.3</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens thickness (mm)</td>
<td>3.9±0.3</td>
<td>3.7±0.2</td>
<td>4.2±0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**−3 D accommodation stimuli**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall (n=30)</th>
<th>Younger (age&lt;35) (n=17)</th>
<th>Older (age≥35) (n=13)</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior lens curvature (mm)</td>
<td>9.7±1.3</td>
<td>10.4±1.3</td>
<td>9.0±0.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior lens curvature (mm)</td>
<td>5.8±0.4</td>
<td>5.9±0.4</td>
<td>5.7±0.4</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens thickness (mm)</td>
<td>4.0±0.3</td>
<td>3.7±0.2</td>
<td>4.2±0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**−5 D accommodation stimuli**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall (n=30)</th>
<th>Younger (age&lt;35) (n=17)</th>
<th>Older (age≥35) (n=13)</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior lens curvature (mm)</td>
<td>8.9±0.9</td>
<td>8.9±0.9</td>
<td>8.9±0.9</td>
<td>0.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior lens curvature (mm)</td>
<td>5.6±0.3</td>
<td>5.6±0.3</td>
<td>5.6±0.3</td>
<td>0.490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens thickness (mm)</td>
<td>4.0±0.3</td>
<td>3.9±0.3</td>
<td>4.2±0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plus-minus values are means±SD.
*Unpaired t-test between younger age and older age.
D, dioptre.
significantly different between age groups, and these
differences decreased with increasing accommodative
stimuli. Table 2 shows the intraobserver and intervisit
RCs and ICC. The intraobserver and intervisit RCs
ranged from 0.824 to 1.254 mm and 0.789 mm to
0.911 mm for anterior lens curvature, from 0.276 to
0.299 mm and 0.221 to 0.270 mm for posterior lens
curvature, and from 0.065 to 0.094 mm and 0.054 to
0.132 mm for lens thickness, respectively. The intraob-
server and intervisit ICCs ranged from 0.831 to 0.865
and 0.828 to 0.914 for anterior lens curvature, from
0.832 to 0.898 and 0.840 to 0.933 for posterior
lens curvature and from 0.980 to 0.992 and 0.942 to
0.995 for lens thickness, respectively. High ICC values
were observed for the measurements regardless of
accommodative stimuli. The agreement between the
values was analysed using Bland-Altman plots (figure
2). It is evident from figure 2 that there is no substan-
tial bias between the measurements and that close
agreement was found for the majority of subjects. The
95% limits of agreement among the measurements
were +11.82% to /C0
19.34% for anterior
curvature, +4.97% to /C0
7.60% for posterior
curvature and +3.33% to /C0
2.09% for lens thickness. There was
no observable trend between per cent difference (bias)
and mean measurement value.

Table 3 shows the intra-
observer and intervisit RCs according to age group.
While the intraobserver and intervisit RCs were similar,
RCs in younger subjects tended to be larger than those
in older subjects.

**DISCUSSION**

The principal finding of this study is that the novel
commercially available SS-OCT provides good

---

### Table 2 Intervisit/intraobserver RC and ICC of in vivo lens measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RC (95% CI)</th>
<th>ICC (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intraobserver</td>
<td>Intervisit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>±0 D</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior curvature (mm)</td>
<td>1.208 (0.728 to 1.688)</td>
<td>0.900 (0.550 to 1.249)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior curvature (mm)</td>
<td>0.287 (0.178 to 0.396)</td>
<td>0.225 (0.150 to 0.299)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens thickness (mm)</td>
<td>0.076 (0.044 to 0.107)</td>
<td>0.062 (0.040 to 0.085)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>−1 D accommodation stimuli</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior curvature (mm)</td>
<td>1.254 (0.682 to 1.853)</td>
<td>0.911 (0.527 to 1.294)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior curvature (mm)</td>
<td>0.276 (0.189 to 0.363)</td>
<td>0.230 (0.158 to 0.303)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens thickness (mm)</td>
<td>0.094 (0.055 to 0.133)</td>
<td>0.054 (0.035 to 0.073)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>−3 D accommodation stimuli</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior curvature (mm)</td>
<td>0.989 (0.627 to 1.351)</td>
<td>0.800 (0.513 to 1.087)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior curvature (mm)</td>
<td>0.299 (0.178 to 0.419)</td>
<td>0.2221 (0.138 to 0.304)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens thickness (mm)</td>
<td>0.065 (0.043 to 0.087)</td>
<td>0.074 (0.051 to 0.098)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>−5 D accommodation stimuli</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior curvature (mm)</td>
<td>0.824 (0.541 to 1.108)</td>
<td>0.789 (0.486 to 1.092)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior curvature (mm)</td>
<td>0.277 (0.182 to 0.372)</td>
<td>0.270 (0.172 to 0.368)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens thickness (mm)</td>
<td>0.072 (0.041 to 0.104)</td>
<td>0.132 (0.034 to 0.232)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D, dioptr; ICC, intraclass correlation coefficient; RC, reproducibility coefficient.
reproducible measurements of anterior and posterior lens curvatures and lens thickness. It can be useful for cataract assessments, measuring structural changes in accommodation, and for evaluating longitudinal changes in human lens biometry.

Recently, some custom-made OCTs have successfully imaged the whole crystalline lens in a single shot using multiple reference arms,\textsuperscript{12–14} multiple light sources\textsuperscript{17} and a vertical cavity surface-emitting laser device.\textsuperscript{18} However, these instruments are laboratory based and have limited clinical application. In contrast to these devices, the CASIA2 is a commercially available OCT system and its built-in program enables the automatic calculation of entire in vivo crystalline lens images. To our knowledge, this is the first clinical report regarding the use of a CASIA2 scanner. An assessment of reproducibility is an essential tool for the evaluation of any imaging modality that is operator dependent and subject dependent. Hence, we calculated RCs and ICCs and found high reproducibility, regardless of accommodation, when measured across separate imaging sessions. Recent studies reported that the repeatability of ocular biometry measurements using SS-OCT was good or excellent.\textsuperscript{15 19–21} Regarding the repeatability of SS-OCT for anterior segments, Neri \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{19} reported that the ICC of lens thickness measurements was 0.991, and Liu \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{15} reported an ICC of anterior chamber angle measurements of $\geq 0.83$ with 30 healthy subjects. These values are comparable to those of the current study.

The strength of this device is its ability to calculate posterior lens curvature automatically. Accurate calculations of curvature require a high signal to noise ratio and minimal involuntary eye movement during fixation. The CASIA2 scanner is ideal for this purpose because it only needs 0.016 s to capture a single cross-sectional image. In the present study, no subjects had to be excluded because of insufficient image quality. Interestingly, the posterior lens curvature and lens thickness measurements tended to have better reproducibility than anterior curvature measurements (figure 2), even though the signal strength in OCT generally decreases with deeper penetration in the axial direction. This might be due to subject-related factors rather than device-related effects. Anterior curvature in younger subjects was significantly different from the older subjects, and was steeper with accommodative stimuli (table 1), while the RC in the static state in the younger group tended to be worse than that in the older age group (table 3). Thus, the relatively wide variability of anterior lens curvature may be due to accommodative changes such as accommodative microfluctuations.

Another strength of this novel SS-OCT scanner is that the light source of the central wavelength is an infrared light of 1310 nm, which is longer than that in other clinically available spectral-domain OCT.

---

**Figure 2** Bland-Altman plots for intraobserver and intervisit measurements at static condition ($\pm 0$ D). A–C, Bland-Altman plots of intraobserver measurements. There is no substantial bias between these measurements. The 95% limits of agreement are $+11.82\%$ to $-19.34\%$ for anterior curvature (A), $+4.97\%$ to $-7.60\%$ for posterior curvature (B) and $+3.33\%$ to $-2.09\%$ for lens thickness (C). (D–F) Bland-Altman plots of intervisit measurements. There is no substantial bias between these measurements. The 95% limits of agreement are $+9.57\%$ to $-13.55\%$ for anterior curvature (D), $+4.13\%$ to $-5.59\%$ for posterior curvature (E) and $+2.40\%$ to $-2.05\%$ for lens thickness (F).
scanners, and the effects of the measurement light on pupil movement and miosis are minimal. While slit-lamp observations comprise another in vivo method for the evaluation of lens biometry, it is difficult to use these for the evaluation of anterior and posterior lens curvatures without mydriasis. Therefore, the effect of detailed accommodative change on the lens structure cannot be analysed due to mydriasis. However, this novel SS-OCT imaging technique can reveal the effect of lens structure change on accommodation.

A major limitation of this study is that all included volunteers were healthy and relatively young, and demonstrated clear lens visibility. Repeatability and reproducibility would be expected to decrease in eyes with a less distinct boundary on OCT images.

Table 3 | Intervisit/intraobserver RC of in vivo lens measurements according to age categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intraobserver (95% CI)</th>
<th>Intervisit (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>±0 D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior curvature (mm)</td>
<td>1.571 (0.957 to 2.185)</td>
<td>0.733 (0.031 to 1.435)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior curvature (mm)</td>
<td>0.330 (0.117 to 0.469)</td>
<td>0.240 (0.072 to 0.406)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens thickness (mm)</td>
<td>0.094 (0.053 to 0.135)</td>
<td>0.052 (0.005 to 0.098)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−1 D accommodation stimuli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior curvature (mm)</td>
<td>1.706 (0.979 to 2.434)</td>
<td>0.662 (−0.064 to 1.388)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior curvature (mm)</td>
<td>0.314 (0.210 to 0.418)</td>
<td>0.227 (0.065 to 0.388)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens thickness (mm)</td>
<td>0.124 (0.075 to 0.174)</td>
<td>0.055 (−0.007 to 0.116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−3 D accommodation stimuli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior curvature (mm)</td>
<td>1.328 (0.893 to 1.763)</td>
<td>0.508 (−0.009 to 1.026)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior curvature (mm)</td>
<td>0.434 (0.292 to 0.576)</td>
<td>0.121 (−0.041 to 0.284)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens thickness (mm)</td>
<td>0.083 (0.055 to 0.111)</td>
<td>0.042 (0.009 to 0.074)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−5 D accommodation stimuli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior curvature (mm)</td>
<td>0.950 (0.547 to 1.353)</td>
<td>0.660 (0.221 to 1.098)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior curvature (mm)</td>
<td>0.334 (0.210 to 0.458)</td>
<td>0.202 (0.060 to 0.345)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens thickness (mm)</td>
<td>0.079 (0.036 to 0.122)</td>
<td>0.064 (0.013 to 0.115)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D, dioptre; RC, reproducibility coefficient.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrated that this novel SS-OCT, involving deeper penetration in the axial direction and a built-in program, can provide reproducible measurements of human crystalline lens biometry. This instrument is a promising technology for in vivo lens assessments, potentially enhancing the understanding of the role of lens in the various ageing processes and disease pathophysiology.
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