Supplementary eTable 1: Numbers and reasons for exclusions

Subject level	5,525
AI I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	5 525
,	*
Body mass index ≥ 30 1	7,977
Cognitive impairment 1	5,168
Pre-diabetes 23	35
Diabetes 5	,056
Diabetic Neuropathy/Ulcers 12	21
Gestational diabetes 22	27
Hypertension 2	5,874
Heart disease 6	,694
Neurological diseases 4	,862
Glycated hemoglobin > 29 % 1	
Sickle cell disease 13	31
Retinal detachment 78	83
Retinal Surgery 4	14
Other serious eye condition 1	,434
Eye Surgery 6	63
Disorders of optic nerve 88	8
Macular degeneration 14	45
Glaucoma 2	,983
Chorioretinal diseases 4	70
Cataract surgery 8	82
Cataract 3	,236
Blindness and low vision 23	38
Eye level	
Cataract 4	06
Cataract surgery 29	9

Intraocular pressure (mmHg) >21	2,149
Visual acuity in LogMAR >0.3 (20/40 Snellen Equivalent)	2,298
Macular degeneration	138
Refractive error (diopeters)	1,198
Eye injury	38
Glaucoma	22
Intraocular pressure (mmHg) < 5	25
Diabetic retinopathy	280

Some patients met multiple criteria

Supplementary eTable 2: Comparison between included and excluded participants

Characteristic	Include (N)	Include Mean (SD)/	Exclude (N)	Exclude Mean (SD)/	p-value			
		Percent		Percent				
Age (years)	20,237	54.7 (8.1)	64,223	58.4 (7.8)	< .001			
Gender	Gender							
Female	12,284	60.7%	33,063	51.5%				
Male	7,953	39.3%	31,160	48.5%				
Ancestral background								
White	18,858	93.2%	58,275	90.7%	< .001			
Black	91	0.5%	851	1.3%	< .001			
Prefer not to answer	132	0.7%	371	0.6%				
Asian	548	2.7%	2,150	3.4%	< .001			
Other	608	3.0%	2,576	4.0%	< .001			
Intraocular pressure (mmHg)	19,709	15.0 (3.0)	50,652	15.9 (4.2)	< .001			
Refractive error (diopters)	20,038	-0.3 (2.0)	51,730	-0.3 (2.9)	< .001			
Visual acuity (LogMAR)	20,214	-0.0 (0.1)	52,313	0.0 (0.2)	< .001			

Supplementary eTable 3: Association between retinal layer thickness and co-variables

	mRNFL - U	nivariable Re	gressions	GC-IPL - Univariable Regressions			
Covariable	Coefficient (95%CI)	p-value	Percent variation in thickness explained by each co- variable (%)	Coefficient (95%CI)	p-value	Percent variation in thickness explained by each co- variable (%)	
Gender			0.1			0.7	
Female	Reference	Reference		Reference	Reference		
Male	-0.2 (-0.3, -0.1)	< .001		1.0 (0.9, 1.2)	< .001		
Ancestral background			0.3			0.2	
White	Reference	Reference		Reference	Reference		
Asian	-0.8 (-1.1, -0.5)	< .001		-1.3 (-1.8, - 0.8)	< .001		
Black	-1.5 (-2.2, -0.8)	< .001		-1.1 (-2.3, 0.1)	.07		
Other	-0.5 (-0.7, -0.2)	< .001		0.0 (-0.5, 0.4)	.89		
Prefer not to answer	-0.3 (-0.9, 0.3)	.33		-0.1 (-1.1, 0.9)	.79		
Alcohol intake			0.1			0.1	
Never	Reference	Reference		Reference	Reference		
Once or twice a week	0.3 (0.1, 0.5)	.004		0.4 (0.1, 0.8)	.01		
One to three times a month	0.3 (0.1, 0.5)	.012		0.4 (0.0, 0.7)	.05		
Prefer not to answer	0.0 (-1.6, 1.6)	.96		-0.3 (-3.1, 2.5)	.82		
Special occasions only	0.2 (0.0, 0.4)	.10		0.1 (-0.3, 0.5)	.62		
Three or four times a week	0.2 (0.0, 0.4)	.03		0.1 (-0.2, 0.4)	.52		
Age (years)	0.0 (0.0, 0.0)	< .001	0.2	-0.1 (-0.1, - 0.1)	< .001	2.4	
Glycated hemoglobin (only < 6.5%)	-0.4 (-0.6, -0.3)	< .001	0.2	-0.9 (-1.2, - 0.6)	< .001	0.3	
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	0.0 (0.0, 0.0)	.004	0.0	0.0 (0.0, 0.0)	.08	0.0	
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	0.0 (0.0, 0.0)	< .001	0.2	0.0 (0.0, 0.0)	< .001	0.3	
Intraocular pressure (mmHg)	0.0 (0.0, 0.0)	.03	0.0	-0.1 (-0.1, 0.0)	< .001	0.1	
Visual acuity in LogMAR	-1.1 (-1.5, -0.7)	< .001	0.2	-3.1 (-3.7, - 2.5)	< .001	0.5	

Spherical power(diopters)	-0.3 (-0.3, -0.3)	< .001	3.1	0.5 (0.5, 0.5)	< .001	3.1
Spherical Equivalent (diopters)	-0.3 (-0.3, -0.3)	< .001	3.4	0.5 (0.5, 0.5)	< .001	2.9
Total retinal thickness (μm)	0.1 (0.1, 0.1)	< .001	16.3	0.3 (0.3, 0.3)	< .001	54.6

Supplementary eFigure 1 legend: shows the distribution of the mRNFL and GC-IPL thickness

Supplementary eFigure 2. shows the relationship between macular retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) and ganglion cell inner plexiform layer (GC-IPL) and total retinal thickness.

The linear form of total thickness accounts for 54.6% of the GC-IPL thickness variation and the quadratic form accounts for 54.6%. This is without accounting for any other subject information such as ethnicity, sex, age etc. The linear form of total thickness accounts for 16.3% of the RNFL thickness variation and the quadratic form accounts for 16.2%. This is without accounting for any other subject information such as ethnicity, sex, age, etc.